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Summary
This 5.2ha parcel of land coincides with the southern and western part of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, and with the eastern end of the Roman circus. An archaeological evaluation by 25 trenches uncovered evidence for prehistoric, Roman, medieval and post-medieval activity. Area B1b represents the meeting-point of three zones of Roman extra-mural activity comprising a settlement zone, a public entertainment building in the form of the Roman circus, and a cemetery.

A small number of struck flints indicates a low level of activity here in the prehistoric period.

A large quantity of residual Roman pottery and tile (including tesserae, pila tiles and roofing tiles) is presumably derived from domestic activity and unidentified Roman buildings in this area. Roman pitting (T10, T12, T13) and a ditch (T17) are similarly representative of a settlement zone and add to the 2nd-century domestic pit found during the 2002 evaluation of the site. Pottery and coin finds suggest a later 1st- to 4th-century date for this extra-mural occupation. The information can be added to the results of the Area B1a evaluations undertaken in 2002 and 2007 which identified further Roman pitting, ditches and post-holes and residual domestic finds including a quantity of painted wall-plaster from a nearby building. Two Roman inhumation burials and one cremation burial, the latter within a large quarry-pit (T31) were excavated at the south end of the site. These were probably part of the same general Roman cemetery previously excavated in GAL Area C2, 150m to the WSW, and at Napier Road, immediately to the south-west. Loose finds indicate at least three more burials. Roman quarrying was also evident in the south-east corner of the site.

The predicted position of the east end of the Roman circus coincided with the southern edge of the site, and five trenches were specifically targeted on the circus structure. These confirmed the expected position of the cavea walls, and also exposed the south face of the partially-robbed St John's Abbey precinct wall. One robber trench contained peg-tile, indicating a potentially late date for the end of robbing activity here. A gravel surface was located on the outer side of the circus, matching that previously found on GAL Areas C1 and C2.

Although Anglo-Saxon burials are recorded on the east side of Mersea Road, no Anglo-Saxon material was recovered during this evaluation.

Despite the location of this site in the southern and western part of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, only one building could definitely be associated with the medieval abbey. This was a right-angled wall foundation with a rough cobble surface on its west side and a clay floor on its east side, possibly the south-west corner of a building with an internal clay floor (T18). Given the position of this structure, it must have been part of the monastic buildings. Other possibly medieval structural remains were located to the south in T9, on the western edge of the site, where buildings appear to have linked up with the western precinct wall of the abbey. Another abbey-period structure was a lime-kiln/pit probably contemporary with the rebuilding of the abbey after the major fire of AD 1133. Finds probably from the abbey church include thick glass from a stained-glass window.

Other wall foundations containing peg-tile (T22, T25) may be late medieval (and so associated with the abbey), or post-medieval (and associated with postDissolution use of the site and particularly the Lucas mansion).

## 2 Introduction (Figs 1-2)

2.1 This is the archive report on a Stage 1b archaeological trial-trenching evaluation on Taylor Wimpey's Garrison Alienated Land (GAL) redevelopment Area B1b, Colchester Garrison, Colchester, Essex (Fig 1).
2.2 The approximately 5.2 ha parcel of land is currently under the ownership of MoD/ RMPA Services but will shortly be passed to Taylor Wimpey for redevelopment. Most of the area comprises existing administrative buildings, car-parking and vehicular access routes, although the eastern area is rough scrub and trees. Development proposals within Area B1b will comprise demolition of several existing 20th-century structures, construction of new residential units, refurbishment of the original 19th-century structures, the provision of an upgraded access road leading
north from Napier Road through the area, resurfacing of areas of hardstanding (notably the existing car-park in the south-east area), new services, and a rose garden in the eastern area. The site is centred on NGR TL 99742463.
2.3 Part of the trial-trenching evaluation had previously been undertaken on behalf of RMPA Services in advance of outline planning permission in 2002 (Stage 1a). At this time, $0.14 \%$ of the area was sampled, comprising three trenches ( 50 square metres) at various locations around the Flagstaff House compound (Fig 2; CAT Report 206). Although some Roman, Anglo-Saxon and medieval features and finds were identified, most of the archaeology from the three trenches was post-medieval and modern in date, and included evidence of occupation and buildings.
2.4 The archaeological strategy for the overall project required the level of trenching for full planning permission to be raised to $3 \%$ unless otherwise agreed with Colchester Borough Council (CBC). Therefore a further $2.86 \%$ trenching was required in this area, equating to 1,057 square metres $(660 \mathrm{~m}$ length of 1.8 m -wide trenches). The trenches described here comprise only 502 m of 1.8 m -wide trenches, since part of the eastern edge of the site lies within the area of the planned rose garden which will have no impact on any surviving archaeology; this area was therefore excluded from the trenching. The information obtained from the combined Stage 1a and 1b evaluations will determine whether further mitigation (excavation, watching brief or avoidance strategies) will be required ahead of or during the construction phase (subject to Scheduled Ancient Monument Consent).
2.5 In terms of modern geography, Area B1b is located to the north and east of Flagstaff House. In terms of the historic geography, it lies mainly within the southern and western side of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey (eighteen trenches were located here), and partially on the land to the south of the precinct wall (between the wall and Napier Road: seven trenches were located here: Fig 2). The northern edge of the site is a Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM no 26307), and this evaluation has therefore required Scheduled Ancient Monument consent (seven of the trenches were in the SAM area).
2.6 An overall archaeological strategy has been provided for the project by RPS (RPS 2004). This provides an outline framework for the mitigation of the archaeological impacts on the Alienated Land.
2.7 A specific archaeological proposal (Written Scheme of Investigation or WSI) for Area B1b has been submitted to and approved by CBC (RPS 2006).
2.8 The archaeological work was carried out by the Colchester Archaeological Trust (CAT) on behalf of Taylor Wimpey in association with RPS Planning (RPS), between 26th July and 5th September 2007. Post-excavation work was carried out between August and December 2007.
2.9 In addition to the Archaeological strategy (RPS 2004) and the Area B1b WSI (RPS 2006), all fieldwork and preparation of reports was undertaken in accordance with the Colchester Archaeological Trust's Policies and procedures (CAT 1999), Colchester Borough Council's Guidelines on standards and practices for archaeological fieldwork in the Borough of Colchester (CM 2002) and Guidelines on the preparation and transfer of archaeological archives to Colchester Museums (CM 2003), the Institute of Field Archaeologists' Standard and guidance for archaeological field evaluation (IFA 1999) and Standard and guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials (IFA 2001). The guidance contained in the documents Management of archaeological projects (MAP 2), and Research and archaeology: a framework for the Eastern Counties 1. Resource assessment (EAA 3), Research and archaeology: a framework for the Eastern Counties 2. Research agenda and strategy (EAA 8), and Standards for field archaeology in the East of England (EAA 14) was also followed.

3 Archaeological background (Figs 1-2)
3.1 The archaeological and historical setting of the Garrison redevelopment area has been comprehensively explored in An archaeological desk-based assessment of the Colchester Garrison PFI site (CAT Report 97), with archaeological fieldwork ahead of the new garrison development providing an opportunity for detailed archaeological fieldwork including 12km of trial-trenching and three excavations.
3.2 The remains of St John's Abbey are the dominant standing archaeological features in the northern area of the overall Taylor Wimpey redevelopment site (Fig 2). Parts of the abbey precinct walls still survive along with the magnificent abbey gatehouse, but the remainder of the abbey does not survive above ground. Unfortunately, due to a lack of early mapping, the medieval layout of the abbey buildings within the precinct is unknown. The eastern and northern parts of the abbey are part of the nationally important SAM. However, the fact that most of the southern part of Area B1b is not scheduled does not detract from the potential importance of any surviving below-ground remains, which could include domestic buildings belonging to the abbey, the abbot's lodgings, guests' lodgings and gardens.
3.3 Prior to 2002 there were few archaeological finds within the specific Area B1b site on the Urban Archaeological Database (UAD, held by the Colchester and Ipswich Museums Service of Colchester Borough Council). However, the archaeological context of the area is now relatively well understood following a series of evaluations and excavations conducted in adjacent areas since 2000.
3.4 Prehistoric: A Neolithic/Early Bronze Age beaker (UAD no 1249) was known prior to 2000 from the vicinity of Flagstaff Road (bordering the western side of Area B1a; Fig 2). This evidence for activity was enhanced by an excavation in Taylor Woodrow Area C1 in 2004 which investigated a cluster of approximately 1 m -diameter pits containing pottery of a similar nature, and together these suggest small-scale although potentially intermittent or seasonal occupation (CAT Report 361). Late Bronze Age pottery in 300 mm -deep pits and a late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age flint blade were found during excavations on the Inner Relief Road in 1972, where the new road crossed the corner of the abbey precinct (UAD no 3019; CAR 9, 205). In addition, a Late Bronze Age pit was excavated beneath Flagstaff Road during the installation of the storm-water drain by the Anderson Group in January 2006, while several Late Bronze Age settlement-related features were excavated in 2005 during the archaeological excavations for Taylor Woodrow at the northern edge of Area J1 (excavation Area J1 North; CAT Report 412 forthcoming). However, the 2002 evaluation trenches within Area B1b produced no features or finds of prehistoric date. Notably no clear traces of the Late Iron Age oppidum landscape (Catuvellaunian royal estate of Camulodunum) have been identified within the area by the investigations.
3.5 Roman: The remains of a major Roman road junction is located north-west of the site under Colchester High School, from which point roads radiated out to London and to the Roman site at Gosbecks. It is also possible that a road led eastwards from the junction through what was later the grounds of St John's Abbey. In addition, a wide Roman ditched and metalled road or track found in Taylor Woodrow Area J1 (where it was flanked by over 400 of burials) would appear to link up with this road junction if its line is continued to the north-west, while it also appears to continue southwards into the rural hinterland of the town. The northern area of the abbey was built over a Roman cemetery area. A total of 34 Roman inhumations was found during excavations from 1971 to 1985 in the abbey grounds, to the north and northeast of Area B1b, the shallowest being about 1 m below ground-level. Other inhumations have been found within this area since the 19th century, including some interred in lead coffins; however, no burials were known within Area B1b until the find of an inhumation in 2006 (trench FHT2, section 3.7 below). The 2002 evaluation did not identify burials of any period. Figure 2 shows the distribution of Roman burials that have been found in the Taylor Woodrow development areas to date in the vicinity of Area B1b, most notably in Area C2 and the excavation site at Napier Road (CAT Report 412 forthcoming). As noted above, over 400 burials were excavated further to the west within Taylor Woodrow Area J1 (near Butt Road) in 2004 (CAT Report 412 forthcoming).
3.6 The south and south-western area of Area B1b is now known to contain nationally important Roman archaeology. A stone-built monumental Roman circus, currently unique to Britain, has been discovered during excavations in GAL Areas C1, C2 and J 1 to the north-east of Area J2 in 2004 and 2005, with further wall foundations and a monument base uncovered during service works at Napier Road in 2006 (CAT Report 361; CAT Report 412 forthcoming). English Heritage scheduled the site of the circus in late 2007. The east-west orientated circus was approximately 450 m in length and 70 m wide with a spina (central barrier) and was used for chariot-racing. Elements identified to date include the seating cavea with internal and external
(buttressed) walls, two entrance ways through the southern cavea, a racetrack dirt surface, a segment of the semicircular end of the circus, a fragment of the starting gates structures, a monument base on the line of the spina, and further elements of the spina including its western end and a fragment of a turning-post pillar (meta).
3.7 The circus extends through the southern area of the Flagstaff House compound to its probable eastern extent to the west of the existing car-park in the south-east corner of the Flagstaff House compound (as shown on Fig 2). The area represents the eastern, approximately 158 m of its northern cavea walls, probably just over 100 m of the spina (the precise eastern end of which remains uncertain), and the majority of the semicircular end (the southernmost fragment of the curve was recorded within the Napier Road site to the immediate south). The outer wall foundation is approximately 0.8 m wide and up to 0.5 m deep with the inner wall foundation less substantial. The circus foundations have largely been robbed out, probably during the medieval period, but, significantly, in situ footings and buttress foundations were uncovered in both Area C2 and at the Napier Road site to the south. The unpredictable nature of the survival of footings is, however, highlighted at Area C1 and Flagstaff Road, where they were entirely robbed out, while two narrow trenches dug in the Flagstaff House compound by CAT in 2006 (FHT2 and FHT3) in search of the circus found the foundation of the outer cavea wall to have been robbed out there too. The 2006 trenches, with the fragment of the circus curve on the Napier Road site, confirm the degree of curvature of the semicircular end. The 2006 trench FHT2 also located an inhumation burial up against the outer wall. The burial is most likely to be of later Roman date.
3.8 It was normal to have a monumental entrance at the apex of the semicircular end of a Roman circus. At present, if this was the case at Colchester, then the arch would have been beneath and possibly also extending to just east of the eastern end of the east-west aligned 19th-century building (to be retained) shown on Figure 2). The outer wall of the circus was apparently pulled down, as represented by rubble spreads exterior to the outer wall, while the inner (podium) wall fell forwards into the track in some areas (eg at Napier Road). This evidence suggests that a seating bank between the walls was still upstanding when the walls fell down. In some areas (eg Areas C1 and C2), a metalled surface representing a track or gravelled area has been identified around the outside of the circus.
3.9 Areas J1 and C2, and the sites at Napier Road, Circular Road North and the Abbey Field have all produced evidence showing that some areas around the circus were used as cemeteries. However, significantly, the area to the north of the circus, including Area B1a and in Area B1b, has evidence of extra-mural settlement instead. In the 2002 evaluation of Area B, trench B2 contained two Roman ditches (BF202BF203) and a Roman pit (BF206), while trench B6 contained a Roman pit (BF605/BF613) containing a substantial assemblage of early-mid 2nd-century pottery. Residual finds from trench B3 included probable Roman briquetage and a coin of Domitian (AD 83-96), while trench B2 produced coins of ?Hadrian (AD 11718 or 119-38) and ?Trajan (AD 98-117). A Roman model of a 'pipe clay bed with occupant' was previously listed as a find just to the east of Area B1a (UAD no 1122). Further pottery and tile of Roman date has been recovered from the south-eastern part of the Flagstaff House compound (UAD nos 10 and 1157), and during recent service works on Flagstaff Road. The recent Stage 1b evaluation of Area B1a identified further Roman pits within trench B8 with possibly associated post-holes (Fig 21; CAT Report 405; CAT Report 412 forthcoming). Here, in addition to large unabraded sherds of 2nd- to 3rd-century date and 1st- and 4th-century residual finds, 26 fragments of painted wall-plaster combined with the identification of at least two post-holes, suggests timber-framed buildings of some grandeur in the close vicinity.
3.10 Anglo-Saxon: An Early Anglo-Saxon cemetery just east of Mersea Road (as suggested by fragmentary remains and grave goods) may encroach into the eastern abbey precinct. Further evidence for the period was identified in 1972 when the remains of the small Anglo-Saxon church of St John were excavated before the construction of the St Botolph's roundabout. A coin of Elthelred was found in 1852 in the vicinity of the northern edge of Area B1b (UAD no 1181), but the only AngloSaxon find during the 2002 evaluation comprised a residual Middle Saxon sherd in trench B3. While this could indicate occupation in the vicinity, there is currently no firm evidence for this.
3.11 Medieval: The following text for the medieval period is taken from CAT Report 97 ( p 23 , here with amendments):

The abbey was built by the Benedictine Order by 1115 but burnt down in 1133. It was rebuilt in the early 13th century. The cruciform-shaped church is thought to have stood in the northern part of the abbey precinct. A foundation was seen during investigations at the north end of the precinct in 1972 and 1986 which might be part of the church. Human remains, certainly medieval burials associated with the abbey, have been recorded several times in this area (CAR 9, 203-221). The church was added to and altered in the 14th and 15th centuries. To the south of the church were the cloister, chapel house and domestic buildings. Some of the domestic buildings were said to have been moved to the south side of the church after 1133 and this has been backed up by archaeological evidence (CAR 1, 28-30) ...

The abbey and St Giles' Church were surrounded by a precinct wall with towers. The wall dates from before the 13th century and was refaced in parts in the 16th century. It was partly demolished during the building of the St Botolph's roundabout in the early 1970s, but it was recorded before its destruction (CAR 9, 219). Surviving stretches (some refaced in brick) can still be seen in various places ...

The surviving abbey gatehouse was built in the 15th century as the main gatehouse, giving access to the town. The upper storey was blown up during the Siege in 1648 and was rebuilt, probably in the 1840s... The building is Grade 1 Listed and is also scheduled. It is possible that there was a second gatehouse, in the Flagstaff House area ...
3.12 The abbey was dissolved in 1538. Part of it, including nearly all of the church, appears to have been demolished shortly afterwards. Other parts are likely to have been incorporated into the mansion which was subsequently built on the site and owned by the Lucas family (although there is no hard evidence that this happened).
3.13 The abbey precinct wall survives along the full length of the eastern side of Area B1b along Mersea Road, as a short section along the western edge against Flagstaff Road, while the southern wall remains as a standing structure along the northern edge of the car-park in the south-east area of Area B1b and as another short segment about 40 m to the east. The wall line is thought from cartographic sources to have had a dog-leg form in the western area of Area B1b (see Fig 2) where it does not survive intact. There was little evidence for abbey period archaeology within 2002 trenches B1-B6, although a large group of Colchester-type ware came from trench B1 including cisterns and cooking pots that must have been part of a pre-Dissolution dump of domestic rubbish from the abbey. The Area B1a 2007 trenching (CAT Report 405) did, however, identify a short section of in situ wall and a robber trench of probable medieval date possibly both deriving from a single building against the to the northern precinct wall.
3.14 Post-medieval: CAT Report 97 further states (p 24):
> ... [The abbey] passed through many hands including the Lucas family, was confiscated during the Civil War, and then changed ownership several times until it was bought by the War Office in 1860. Maps from 1610 and 1648 show (possibly) part of the abbey church, other abbey buildings and part of the precinct wall still standing. These maps may not be entirely accurate; however, some abbey buildings may still have been standing at this time but having different uses. Lucas's house is one of these (see section 6.8.6). There also appears on these maps to be buildings to the west of the abbey gatehouse (where Abbey House is now) and to the north of Lucas's house [on Flagstaff Road] ...
3.15 Evidence for post-Dissolution activity at the site of St John's Abbey was located within four trenches within Area B in 2002. A dense area of post-medieval (16th- to 19th-century) pitting was encountered within trench B3 (pits BF304/BF305/BF307/ BF310/BF314/BF315/BF317-BF320/BF322/BF325/BF328-BF330/BF333/BF334/ BF336-BF339/BF341 and BF342) in the northern part of Area B1b. Similar pitting has been found in Area B1a to the north. Other features included post holes suggesting the presence of building (BF306/26/27/31 and 32) and a dog burial (BF303). Trenches B1-B3 of the 2002 evaluation and trenches 7 and 8 of the 2007 trenching therefore suggest relatively intensive post-Dissolution and more recent activity within the north-west area of B1b and in Area B1a. Most of the features
within trenches B2 and B3 post-date 1648 and the post-holes within trench B3 in particular clearly post-date the Civil War.
3.16 Historic maps: Speed's map of Colchester 1610 has little detail and is not to scale but shows the precinct wall with buildings in the south-west area of the compound and a tall church building in the central northern area. The remainder of the area within the Flagstaff compound appears to have been open ground. This situation is consistent with the Siege map of 1648 (which shows 'Lucas House' in the southwest area) and the French map of Colchester 1650 (which also shows a church located south-east of the gatehouse, probably within area B2). Philip Morant's map of Colchester 1748 shows greater detail of ordered orchards within Area B1b although the only buildings are along the dog-leg section of the western precinct wall (no other buildings are shown in the central area of the precinct south of the gatehouse). This situation is confirmed by Thomas Sparrow's map of Colchester 1767, Chapman and Andre's map of the County of Essex - Colchester town centre - 1777, and Cole \& Roper's map of Colchester c 1800-1815. These also show a 'mount' in the south-east area of the compound. This appears to correspond with 'The Mount' shown on the modern OS and represented by an earth mound north of the standing section of precinct wall that flanks the northern side of the car park. The most probable explanation is an association with the siege works.
3.17 The OS 1st Edition 1:10,560 map of 1874-1876 shows that after the construction of barrack blocks to the west the area continued to be occupied by gardens prior to a sequence of development of military buildings within the Flagstaff House compound. The map shows the buildings to be retained that flank Flagstaff Road and the two structures that stand on top of the Roman circus.

## 4 Aims and strategy

4.1 The general aim of the evaluation was to recover sufficient evidence to characterise the nature, date, function and importance of the archaeological features within the affected area. The over-arching research themes, as stated in the research design, are to:

- Inform how the landscape was used and to what level of intensification, prior to the construction of Camulodunum (are there further indications of late Neolithic and Bronze Age settlement?)
- To elucidate the nature of spatial organisation within the oppidum
- To address the question of the effect of the establishment of the Roman town on the agricultural hinterland
- To elucidate the relative density of Roman burials and the influence of the recently discovered Roman circus across the northern zone of Colchester Garrison.
4.2 Specific aims: The results of previous excavations in this area in conjunction with similar work elsewhere in England, especially the south-east, enable the following issues in relation to the cemeteries to be identified as research priorities for the Garrison Alienated Land:
- To identify, and if necessary excavate, record and remove any human burials;
- To establish the origin and development of cemeteries and cemetery areas, especially in relation to roads, fields and other parcels of land;
- To establish the location and nature of cemetery boundaries;
- To establish the relationship of cemeteries to non-funerary activities such as industrial production (eg tile-kilns such as that found on the site of the NAAFI Club) and settlement (as seen previously in Areas B1a and B1b);
- To establish the relationship with buildings (notably the Roman circus), tombs and other structures (funerary and otherwise) within cemetery areas;
- To determine whether the current low density of Roman burials in Area B1b and presence of possible settlement-related features suggest that this area was a suburb/extra-mural settlement area in the Roman period or whether these features relate to activities associated with the circus;
- To determine whether there was any settlement or burial of Anglo-Saxon date within Area B1b;
- To determine whether the northern area of Area B1b includes part of the main monastic buildings and if there were any other buildings such as workshops/ other domestic activities, physical evidence of gardens, or other evidence for monastic activities;
- To determine the nature of the apparent post-medieval occupation evidence within Area B1b and whether there are any features relating to the Siege of Colchester during the Civil War.
4.3 The additional trenching was positioned in order to provide, in combination with the Stage 1a trenching undertaken in 2002, as even a coverage across the proposal area as possible within the constraints imposed by standing structures and buried services. The trench layout is provided on Figure 2. Notably the proposed rose garden area within the SAM area has been left free of trenching at this stage, since impacts to archaeology are not anticipated here. If impacts are re-identified, following detailed design, then trial-trenching will be required here to establish the likely significance of the impacts on archaeology and to define an appropriate mitigation strategy, if necessary. Similarly the south-western area is free of trenches due to a lack of potential impacts within this area, ie of the site of the Roman circus. The trenches (T9-T33) were also specifically placed to address a series of impacts to known or suspected archaeological remains, as follows:
4.4 T9-T15 (130m linear) were located within the SAM area and therefore required SAM consent. These trenches, combined with T16-T22 (215m linear) in the central area of Area B1b, were designed to establish the presence/absence and extent of any remains of extra-mural Roman settlement and monastic structures that may extend into Area B1b, particularly in areas of potential new-build in the north-east area and beneath the buildings which are to be demolished in the northern and central areas. T17 and T20 were also designed to inform the likely impact of an upgrade of the existing access road through the Flagstaff House compound. T23-T29 were designed to intercept the projected elements of the Roman circus and the area immediately around the circus, and includes: T23 which was designed to intercept the northern wall foundations; T28 and T29 which were designed to intercept the curved eastern end and to evaluate a possible alternative access road route around the eastern end of the circus; and T26 and T27 which were designed to define the spina and its probable eastern end point, in addition to the racetrack. In particular, T27 was designed to inform the impact on the circus of an upgrade of the existing access road through the Flagstaff House compound, while T26 and T27 were offset from this access road route line due to the location of extensive services on the actual alignment of the access road; nevertheless they do provide information on the likely depth and character of the archaeology between the services on the route line itself.

T30-T33 were designed to investigate the archaeological potential of the southeast car-park. The development would entail reconstruction of the car-park, and therefore the depth here of any surviving archaeological remains is critical for the formulation of methodologies that facilitate preservation in situ, where possible.

5 Results of the evaluation (Figs 2-20)
The trench numbering in Area B1b follows previous work in Area B undertaken in 2002. The 2002 evaluation used trench numbers B1-B6 (CAT Report 206).

Following this, the evaluation in January 2007 in sub-area Area B1a used numbers B7-B8 (CAT Report 405). Continuing this sequence, the Area B1b evaluation described here uses numbers T9-T33.

This section gives an archaeological summary of each trench with a list of context finds dating information.

Trench 9: summary (Figs 2-3, 7)
This trench was excavated in an area of grass on the northern edge of Area B1b, and within the St John's Abbey SAM area. The majority of datable features were medieval/post-medieval or modern. They included a modern wall foundation (F121)
and gutter (F120) which are probably associated with the Victorian and later barracks and road layout.

As well as the Victorian activity, there was evidence of earlier structural activity dating to the medieval period. This consisted of two wall foundations (F111 and F119) constructed of re-used Roman material (septaria and Roman tile) with peg-tile used as a levelling course. The two medieval wall foundations were parallel with the western precinct wall, and set back from it by 12 m and 14 m respectively. They could therefore represent later medieval buildings.

If these buildings were medieval, then, in this location, ie situated on the west side of the precinct and well away from the presumed site of the abbey church, they would appear to be part of an ancillary building associated with the abbey. However, the imprecise nature of the dating of peg-tile (potentially later medieval or postmedieval) means that they could also be post-Dissolution in date or have continued in use into the post-medieval period. In addition, post-medieval cartographic evidence (maps by Speed of 1610 and Morant of 1748) show buildings built along the line of and to the east of the abbey precinct wall which are consistent with the wall foundations found in T9.

A compacted gravel surface (F110) to the west of foundation F111 may represent an associated yard or working surface.

In addition to the foundations, several pits were identified in T9. Two of these pits were located in between wall foundations F111 and F119. F112 produced only Roman finds, including seven sherds spot-dated to the late 3rd century, although these may be residual in a medieval pit. It was cut by a later pit F118 containing 17th- and 18th-century pottery, and is therefore post-medieval in date.

At the western end of the trench, a large pit F109 contained a large quantity of oyster shells interleaved with cessy material. This would appear to represent a midden deposit or a toilet-waste pit. It was cut very close to wall foundation F111 and may be associated with it. Fish and shellfish - particularly oysters, in this case formed an important element of the medieval monastic diet, and an association with the abbey can be suggested here.

All cut features were sealed by a layer of accumulated material (L9), and in turn by modern topsoil (L8).

## Trench 9 - contexts and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F109 | Midden | clay tobacco pipe, residual Roman pottery, hobnail | post-medieval |
| F110 medieval | Hard gravel surface | - | medieval/post- |
| F111 medieval | Wall foundation | peg-tile | medieval/post- |
|  |  | residual Roman tile |  |
| F112 | Pit | Roman pottery and tile | Roman or later |
| F118 | Pit | peg-tile, 17 th- to 18 thcentury pottery | post-medieval |
| F119 | Wall foundation | peg-tile, residual Roman tile | late medieval/ post-medieval |
| F120 | Brick gutter | (built of 19th-century brick | modern |
| F121 | Brick gutter | (built of 19th-/20th-century brick and concrete) | modern |
| L27 | Building debris | peg-tile, clay pipe | post-medieval |
| L28 | Accumulation |  | medieval |
| L30 | Redeposited natural | peg-tile, residual Roman tile | medieval or post-medieval |

Trench 10: summary (Figs 2-3, 8)
As with T9, T10 was excavated in a grassed area on the northern edge of Area B1b and within the St John's Abbey SAM area. The trench contained six post-medieval or modern pits (F101-F104, F106, F108) and a single Roman pit (F105) containing 33 pottery sherds with an assemblage date of mid 3rd to 4th century. The central and the western parts of the trench had been truncated by a number of modern
service runs and building foundations. The post-medieval pitting was sealed by a layer of accumulated material (L9), which was in turn sealed by a modern topsoil (L8).

A copper-alloy Roman armlet found residually in F91 may derive from a disturbed Roman burial close by, or may have been a casual loss, as Roman burials are yet to be identified in this area of the site.

## Trench 10 - contexts and finds data

| Context | type <br> Service run | dated finds <br> residual Roman pottery <br> of 2nd-3rd century, and <br> copper-alloy armlet | period <br> modern |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F101 | Pit | peg-tile | late medieval+ <br> medieval/post-medieval <br> F102 |
| F103 | Post-hole | - | medieval/post-medieval <br> F104 |
| Post-hole | - | 19th- to 20th-century | modern |
| F105 | Pit | pottery, peg-tile | Roman |
| F106 | Pit | Roman pottery, tile | Romer <br> F108 |
| Poncrete | peg-tile (in fill) | late medieval+ |  |

## Trench 11: summary (Figs 2-3, 8)

T11 was excavated in a grassed area on the northern edge of Area B1b, and within the St John's Abbey SAM area. A single archaeological feature was identified in T11, ie an extensive midden deposit (F126). No edges were visible in the trench, which shows that it extended beyond T11 in all directions. Its fill consisted of interleaved deposits of pottery, a high density of oyster shells, and animal bone. The large volume of finds may suggest an association with the abbey, where food preparation could have been carried out on a large scale.

There are two finds from this context which are worthy of discussion. The first is a jeton of Charles V of France, dated AD 1380-1422. Although a post-medieval date for this feature is possible, because of the presence of peg-tile, this jeton may offer slightly more focussed dating for this midden deposit, while there is no reason why the peg-tile should not be late 14th or early 15th century in date.

The second find is a group of fragments from a briquetage trough. This would originally have been the container in which salt was brought to the abbey, presumably from coastal salt-workings on the nearby Essex coast. Traces of mortar on the surface of the briquetage show that it was later re-used as building material in a nearby abbey building.

## Trench 11 - contexts and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F126 | Midden deposit | peg-tile, lava quern, | late medieval+ |
|  |  | jeton of AD 1380-1422, |  |
|  |  | residual Roman pottery and tile |  |

## Trench 12: summary (Figs 2-3, 9)

T12 was located in a grassed area on the northern edge of Area B1b, and within the St John's Abbey SAM area.

T12 was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed at its east and west ends by modern service runs (eg F95). Excavated features consisted of the partially-robbed foundation of a stump of wall (F92) and two intercutting pits (F93 and F94).

The two substantial pits (F93 and F94) contained only Roman pottery. They are probably Roman in date, but, given the large volume of residual Roman finds from this site, a medieval date cannot be entirely ruled out. Pit F93 produced fourteen sherds of 2nd- to 3rd-century pottery, while pit F94 produced a large assemblage of 211 sherds ( 7 kg ) of late 3rd- to 4th-century pottery.

The curved terminal end of a stone foundation (F92) cut the infilled Roman pits. F92 consisted of septaria lumps in a matrix of dark brown silty loam mixed with yellowish brown sandy lime mortar. It was dated to the medieval period by 13th-
century pottery underneath the wall stump foundation (in the construction cut?). Further, an absence of peg-tile confirms its medieval date, ie possibly pre-14th century. This foundation was probably associated with the abbey.

These features were sealed by accumulation layer L3, and the modern service runs were sealed by hogging L2 and a layer of degrading tarmac (L1) forming the surface of the road.

## Trench 12 - contexts and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period <br> medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F92 | Wall stump <br> foundation | 13th-century pottery, residual Roman <br> pottery and tile | Roman pottery of 2nd-3rd century |
| F93 | Pit | Roman pottery of late 3rd-late 4th century, | Roman <br> Roman |
| F94 | Pit | Roman tile, lava quern fragment | modern |

## Trench 13: summary (Figs 2-3, 10)

T13 was located in an area of tarmac road on the eastern side of Area B1b, within the St John's Abbey SAM area.

Features at the northern end of the trench were truncated by two modern service runs (F85 and F89). T13 contained a complex sequence of pits probably dating from the Roman period up to at least the medieval period, if not the post-medieval period. As far as can be judged, the sequence is probably as follows. In the northern part of trench, two pits (F88 and F84) are considered to be parts of the same large medieval quarry-pit, dated to the 12th or 13th century by pottery. These were sealed by a compacted gravel surface F107. There was no material in F107 which postdated the 12th century, so this was probably a medieval surface. Furthermore, the fact that the visible fragments of F107 aligned precisely with the centre of St John's Abbey gatehouse ( 65 m to the north) invites speculation that this was an abbeyperiod roadway running between major abbey buildings. To continue with the pits, the large ?quarry-pit F88/F84 was cut by three later pits (F83, F86, F100). F83 contained peg-tile, and may therefore be later medieval or post-medieval in date. F86 was undated, and F100 contained only Roman material, but the two can be considered as broadly contemporary with F83. F86 was cut by modern pit F87.

The sequence at the south end of the trench was similar, but involved more intercutting pits. At the bottom of the sequence was pit F82. This contained only Roman material, and was therefore probably Roman in date. F82 was cut by pit F81, which was dated to the later medieval or post-medieval period by peg-tile. Stratigraphically earlier than F81 was a series of undated and intercutting pits, ie F98 (cutting F97 and F99) and F97 (cutting F96). The earlier end of this sequence could be either Roman or medieval, but it is not clear which.

Features in the southern half of the trench were sealed by accumulation layer L3. The modern service runs were sealed by hogging L2 and a layer of degrading tarmac (L1) forming the hardtop surface of the roadway.

## Trench 13 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F81 | Ditch | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile | post-medieval |
| F82 | Pit | Roman brick/tile | ?Roman |
| F83 | Pit | peg-tile, 12th-century pottery, residual Roman pottery | post-medieval |
| F84 | ?Quarry-pit | 13th-century pottery, residual Roman pottery | medieval |
| F85 | Service run | - | modern |
| F86 | Pit | - | ?post-medieval |
| F87 | Pit | - | modern |
| F88 | ?Quarry-pit | 12th-century pottery, residual Roman pottery | medieval |
| F89 | Service run | - | modern |
| F96 | Pit |  | ?medieval |
| F97 | Pit |  | ?post-medieval |


| F98 | Pit |  | ?post-medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F99 | Pit | ?medieval |  |
| F100 | Pit | Roman brick/tile, | ?post-medieval |
| F107 | Gravel surface | 12th-century pottery, residual Roman <br> pottery | medieval |

## Trench 14: summary (Figs 2-3, 10)

This trench was positioned within the walled precinct and St John's Abbey SAM area. Along with T13, T15 and T18, this trench was closest to the presumed site of St John's Abbey church (see Fig 2).

Although a quantity of residual medieval pottery was recovered, no remains of the medieval abbey buildings were observed. Instead T14 contained a large number of ditches and pits; these were late medieval (F56, F75), post-medieval (F36, F37, F39, F54, F56, F75), or modern (F38, F40, F90). The later features were probably associated with the post-Dissolution use of the site of the abbey. Also of interest were a series of dumped layers of late medieval or later date comprising L11 and L18-L23. L18-L23 included deposits of peg-tile which thickened to the east end of the trench, and suggest a demolition episode for a building lying to the east of Area B 1 b . It is notable that L22 is dated to the medieval period.

A feature of particular interest was robber trench F35 at the west end of the trench. This contained post-medieval brick, and may be the robber trench of a wall associated with a post-medieval structure on the site of the abbey. As far as could be established from this narrow trench, it was aligned NNW-SSE and therefore did not align with the long medieval wall F33 in T18 (see below).

A green-glazed medieval floor tile from F40 could be derived from one of the floors of the abbey church or its ancillary buildings.

## Trench 14 - context and finds data

| Context <br> F35 | type <br> Robber trench <br> F36 | dated finds <br> post-medieval brick in fill (not retained) <br> post-medieval brick and peg-tile, slate, <br> residual medieval and Roman pottery | period <br> post-medieval <br> post-medieval Roman tile |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F37 | Pit | 17th- to 18th-century pottery, and peg-tile, <br> residual medieval and Roman pottery | post-medieval |
| and Roman tile |  |  |  |

## Trench 15: summary (Figs 2-3, 12)

This trench was positioned within the walled precinct and St John's Abbey SAM area. Along with T13, T14 and T18, this trench is closest to the presumed site of St John's Abbey.

T15 contained three post-medieval or modern pts (F59, F60, F61). These had lenses of cinders and burnt material, and were certainly Army-related. Modern finds from these context were not retained.

## Trench 15 - context and finds data

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Context } & \text { type } & \text { dated finds } & \text { period } \\ \text { F59 } & \text { Pit } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 12th-century pottery, residual Roman pottery and tile } \\ \text { F60 }\end{array} & \text { Pit }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { 17th-century or later (probably 19th- to 20th-century) } \\ \text { pottery, residual Roman pottery and tile, worked }\end{array}\right)$

Trench 16: summary (Figs 2, 4, 12)
T15 was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area. The ground in which T16 was dug was heavily disturbed by modern services. A modern brick foundation (F122) extended along the whole length of the trench. A foundation built of septaria, flint and Roman brick (F123) was exposed in section. The absence of peg-tile shows that this could be an abbeyperiod wall foundation. The location on or close to the projected alignment of the abbey precinct wall (see Fig 2) is unlikely to be coincidental.

## Trench 16 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period <br> modern |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F122 | Foundation |  | Roman tile |

Trench 17: summary (Figs 2, 4, 13)
This trench was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, south of the abbey SAM area. It was dug in three sections, ie T17a to the east, T17c to the west, and T17b between them. The three trench sections will be discussed separately.

T17a was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed by modern service runs (F1, F2) and a modern concrete foundation (F3). This trench revealed a modern compacted gravel surface (F4) at a depth of 0.45 m below ground-level, which was cut by two post-medieval or modern pits (F5 and F6).

T17b was also crossed by modern service runs (unnumbered) and by a modern concrete foundation (F72). Archaeological features consisted of an undated posthole (F71), an undated ditch (F70, the consolidated fill of which suggested that it was ancient, possibly Roman), and the edge of a post-medieval or modern pit or ditch (F74). A gravelled surface (F73) was exposed at a depth of 0.65 m below ground-level (above the level of the top of the Roman ditch at 0.8 m below groundlevel). This was undated, but may be part of the medieval abbey's yards or track network.

The principal discovery in T17c was the northern semicircular portion of F76, representing approximately one-third of what was certainly a lime-kiln/pit. The feature was 4.6 m in length by 1.0 m in width within the trench, with an estimated full diameter of 4.0 mm . The edges of the pit sloped steeply to a concave base at a depth of 0.28 m from the feature's lip and were burnt red. A large group of associated burnt oyster shells within the backfill probably indicate that the limekiln/pit was used to burn shells for lime. A 13th-century pottery sherd in the fill provides dating evidence for its abandonment. A total of 31 residual Roman sherds was also recovered. The absence of peg-tile is consistent with a 13th-century or earlier date for its period of use.

A residual Roman coin, probably a 3rd-century antoninianus, was found in its fill.

## Trench 17 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | Service run |  | modern |
| F2 | Service run |  | modern |
| F3 | Foundation |  | modern |
| F4 | Compacted gravel surface |  | post-medieval/modern |
| F5 | Pit |  | post-medieval/modern |
| F6 | Pit |  | post-medieval/modern |
| F70 | Ditch |  | ?Roman |
| F71 | Post-hole |  | - |
| F72 | Foundation |  | modern |
| F73 | Compacted gravel surface |  | ?medieval |
| F74 | Pit or ditch |  | medieval/post-medieval |
| F76 | Lime-kiln/pit | 13th-century pottery, residual Roman pottery and tile, copper-alloy obje copper-alloy coin (3rd-cen antoninianus) | medieval <br> ct, tury |
| L1 | Tarmac hard top |  | modern |
| L2 | Hogging base for L1 |  | modern |
| L12 | Crush |  | modern |
| L13 | Topsoil | post-medieval brick, peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile | post-medieval |
| L3 | Soil accumulation | 17th- to 18th-century pottery residual medieval and Roman pottery, iron strip | ry post-medieval |

Trench 18: summary (Figs 2, 4, 13)
This trench was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area. T18, along with T14 and T15 (above) were positioned close to the presumed site of St John's Abbey.

T18 contained an important archaeological sequence, which was associated with an 0.66 m -wide wall foundation (F33) built of septaria and re-used Roman tile bonded in pale brown mortar (but, importantly, containing no peg-tile). The foundation was found to comprise up to three courses (to a surviving depth of 0.3 m ) with the surviving upper course being the lowest course above exterior ground-level (represented by an external surface F42). A length of approximately 7.7 m of the wall foundation was exposed in the trench, including a right-angled corner at its southern end where it returned towards the east and continuing in that direction. Its extent to the north could not be determined because it was overlaid by a live modern service. The form of the foundation and a lack of peg-tile would be consistent with a building which was contemporary with the abbey.

A narrow slot (F41) ran around the western edge of the wall foundation. Where excavated, this was approximately 0.4 m wide and 0.12 m deep. Its sandy fill contained mortar flecks and occasional septaria chippings, indicating that this could have been the western edge of the original construction cut within which the wall foundation was built. If this interpretation is correct, then the 12th-century pottery in its fill is probably good dating evidence for the construction phase of the wall foundation (and superstructure). Alternatively the foundation cut may have been flush with the foundation and the slot around the edge may represent another function such as a bedding trench for planting or a drainage run.

Two surfaces were associated with the wall foundation F33. These were a patch of what appeared to be an 0.1 m-thick clay floor (F53) on its eastern edge, and a rough compacted surface F42 on its western side. This rough surface, consisting of small septaria fragments and gravel cobbles, may include debris associated with the construction of the wall foundation and also its superstructure, but was apparently a deliberately-laid external pedestrian surface. There was a gap of approximately 5 7 cm between surface F42 and the face of the foundation at two sampled locations. Given that the external surface was flush with the edge of the cut, then there must have been an obstruction within the cut which prevented the surface from butting against the wall itself. A wood-lined drain along the edge of the wall foundation is possible and would explain the void and its juxtaposition with the surfacing.

There were several other features in this trench, located to the south of the building, ie a medieval or post-medieval ditch (F49), a post-medieval pit (F50), a natural feature (F51), and a modern service cut (F34).

The layer associated with the robbing of wall foundation F33 produced a Norwegian stone hone, and a ?medieval iron lift-key from a door latch, which is an interesting domestic detail from one of the abbey buildings.

## Trench 18 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F33 | Wall foundation | (contained no peg-tile) | medieval |
| F34 | Service cut |  | modern |
| F41 | Slot/drainage feature | 12th-century pottery, residual medieval and Roman pottery and Roman tile | medieval |
| F42 | Compacted surface | Roman pottery of early 3rd-4th century, Roman tile, copperalloy object | ?medieval (no finds later than Roman) |
| F43 | Pit | peg-tile, slate, residual Roman pottery and tile, copper-alloy object | late medieval + |
| F49 | Ditch | peg-tile | late medieval + |
| F50 | Pit | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile | late medieval + |
| F51 | Natural feature |  |  |
| F52 | Ditch | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery | post-medieval |
| F53 | Internal clay floor? |  | ?medieval |
| L32 | Robbing of wall F33 | 19th- to 20th-century pottery, peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile, hone, lead object, iron fitting | modern |

Trench 19: summary (Figs 2, 4, 13)
This trench was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area. It was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed by modern services, and a large feature could be identified as the construction cut for the modern boiler house standing to the east of the trench position. The only archaeological feature or horizon beyond the modern cut was L31, a post-medieval soil accumulation.

Trench 19 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L31 | Accumulation | peg-tile (in fill) | medieval/post-medieval |

Trench 20: summary (Figs 2, 4, 13)
This trench was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area.

T20 was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed by modern services. It contained a 1.0 m -wide and 0.34 m -deep post-medieval ditch (F79) orientated eastwest, and pit F80 containing Roman tile, which was cut by both F79 and the modern service run to its north.

## Trench 20 - context and finds data

| Context | type <br> F79 | dated finds <br> peg-tile, frogged brick, residual <br> Roman pot and tile | period <br> post-medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F80 | Pit | Roman tile | Roman (+) |

Trench 21: summary (Figs 2, 5, 21)
T21 was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area. It contained a modern service cut F7 but was dominated by large
pit F127 over 1.2 m deep which contained slate and peg-tile (not retained). It is probable, given the size of the feature that it represents a quarry-pit.

Trench 21 - context data

| Context | type | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F7 | Service cut | modern |
| F127 | Quarry-pit | post-medieval |

Trench 22: summary (Figs 2, 5, 14)
T22 was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, beyond the abbey SAM area. T22 contained an important post-medieval sequence. The earliest feature was pit F48, which contained slate (not recovered) and peg-tile. Slate is not at all common in Colchester before the Industrial Revolution. However, early medieval slate is known from the town, for instance, on the roof of Colchester Castle. It is possible that slate was used within other high-status buildings at Colchester such as at St John's Abbey. Therefore the presence of slate does not necessarily imply an 18th-century plus date in this context and could date as early as the 13th/14th century, given also the presence of peg-tile. A wall foundation F46 cut pit F48. This was built of peg-tile, septaria and re-used Roman tile. It was 0.3 m wide with a buttress $(0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m})$ on its southern side. The position of this buttress would imply that F46 represents an external wall, with the buttress being on its outside (southern) face. Parallel to F46 and 1.1 m to its north was the foundation of a right-angled fragment of similarly-constructed wall (F47). The similarity of construction and parallel layout of these walls indicates that they are contemporary and part of the same structure. Although it is not possible to be certain, the configuration of these walls gives the impression that T22 has cut across the southwestern corner of a quadrangular courtyard, with the open courtyard lying north and east of F47 and an internal walkway between F47 and F46. A layer of demolition material L10 lying over F47 gives a post-medieval or later date for a demolition phase associated with this structure. However, given the possibly early date of slate within F48, it is possible that the structures were of late-medieval rather than postmedieval date.

Other features in T22 included a post-medieval ditch F45 and a post-medieval pit F46.

## Trench 22 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period <br> post-medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F44 | Ditch | peg-tile, residual Roman tile, | post-medieval |
| F45 | Pit | lava quern | post-medieval <br> post-medieval |
| F46 | Wall foundation |  | Wall foundation |
| F47 | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery | late medieval + <br> F48 | Pit |$\quad$| and tile (slate in fill) |
| :--- |
| peg-tile, residual Roman pottery |$\quad$ post-medieval-modern

Trench 23: summary (Figs 2, 5, 15)
This trench was specifically positioned to intercept the projected lines of the northern walls of the Roman circus. It was also within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area.

T23 contained three post-medieval pits (F67, F68, F69). This trench should have intercepted the inner and outer cavea wall foundations of the Roman circus. Unfortunately, the position of the north cavea wall coincided with a modern service run, so no trace of the robbed wall was visible at that point, while the position of the south cavea wall coincided with a post-medieval pit (F69). It is notable that the pit contained flecks of Roman mortar presumably derived from the former inner cavea wall. This cut did not have sides parallel with the east-west wall, as is usually the case with robber trenches, and was much deeper than the known shallow depth of the inner cavea wall foundation, so is unlikely to have been a robber trench of that
wall. Fabric 40 pottery from the fill did not appear in Colchester until approximately AD 1550 (CAR 7, 189). The peg-tile would support this date.

Other trenches positioned over the line of the circus walls were T28, and T29 (cavea wall foundations), T26 (spina) and T27 (racetrack).

A residual find from F68 was part of a 4th-century lamp. This potentially derives from a disturbed Roman burial, and indicates that there was a Roman burial on or close to this spot.

## Trench 23 - context and finds data

| Context <br> F67 | type <br> Pit | dated finds <br> peg-tile, 17th- to 18th-century pottery, <br> residual medieval and Roman pottery <br> and Roman tile | period <br> post-medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F68 | Pit | 17th- to 18th-century pottery, residual <br> Roman pottery and tile, Roman pottery <br> lamp | post-medieval |
| F69 | Pit | 17th- to 18th-century pottery, peg-tile | post-medieval |

Trench 24: summary (Figs 2, 5,15 )
T24 was located in the car-park area north of Napier Road and east of Mersea Road, to the south of St John's Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It contained a natural feature (F31) but no archaeological features.

## Trench 24 - context data

## Context type

## period

F31 Natural feature

## Trench 25: summary (Figs 2, 5, 15)

T25 was positioned within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, west of the abbey SAM area. T25 contained a row of features which may represent a structure. From north to south these were a mortared peg-tile and stone plinth (F113), a pit which may mark the former position of a robbed-out plinth (F114), and a pit (F116) containing robbed debris (F115) which may represent the cut of another structure (?plinth). F113 extended beyond the east edge of the trench, and so may alternatively be the end of a longer east-west wall.

Another sequence of archaeological deposits was revealed at the south end of this trench. A drain F117 crossed the trench running north-west/south-east. The base of the drain comprised a limestone slab ( 0.55 m wide and $0.14-0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ deep), dished in the middle to create the drainage channel. On either side of the 18 cm -wide channel, the drain sides were built up with layers of peg-tile, septaria and flint and re-used Roman tile bonded in a yellowish brown lime mortar. F124 was located at the terminus of the drain. This feature may be variously interpreted as a hole eroded by water running out of the end of the drain, or more plausibly as the original sump into which the drain emptied. F124 cut an adjacent gravel surface F125. All of these features contained peg-tile, so they were all probably later medieval or postmedieval in date. However, since sump F124 was cut by the possible robbing pit F116, the drain and its sump (and presumably also the gravel surface) are earlier than the plinths/robbed-out plinths F113-F115.

## Trench 25 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F113 | Plinth |  | medieval to post-medieval |
| F114 | ?Robbing pit |  | medieval to post-medieval |
| F115 | Robbing debris |  | medieval to post-medieval |
| F116 | ?robbing pit | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile | medieval to post-medieval |
| F117 | Stone/tile drain | peg-tile in structure | medieval to post-medieval |
| F124 | Pit | peg-tile, residual Roman pottery and tile, quernstone | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F125 | Gravel surface |  | medieval |

Trench 26: summary (Figs 2, 5, 15)
T26 was specifically positioned to intercept the precinct wall of St John's Abbey and the central barrier (spina) of the Roman circus.

T26 was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed by modern services. However, two archaeological features could be seen. These were the foundation of St John's Abbey precinct wall (F77), and an undated ditch-like cut (F78) on the eastwest line of the circus spina. This cut was roughly V-shaped, 1.04 m wide and 0.54 m deep. Its south side sloped at approximately 30 degrees, and its north side initially sloped almost vertically and then at 45 degrees. This ditch-like cut could have been the spina robber trench, but it had a clean fill with none of the usual robbing debris. It is, therefore, possible that this was a drainage channel on the alignment of the approximately 5 m -wide spina.

F77 comprised the southern face of the foundation of St John's Abbey precinct wall. It was solidly built with Roman brick and tile, septaria chunks and greensand fragments, bonded in a yellow sandy lime mortar. It was 0.45 m wide (within the trench) and survived to a depth of 0.3 m . Its top was 0.5 m below ground-level, and it was sealed by modern layer L33.

Other trenches positioned over the Roman circus were T23, T28 and T29 (cavea wall foundations), and T27 (racetrack).

## Trench 26 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F77 | Foundation of St John's Abbey precinct wall - | medieval |  |
| F78 | ?Drainage gully within circus spina | - | ?Roman |
| L33 | Layer | - | modern |

Trench 27: summary (Figs 2, 5, 16)
T27 was located north of Napier Road, outside both St John's Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It coincided with the racetrack area of the Roman circus immediately east and south-east of the estimated eastern end of the spina.

T27 was excavated in two halves, T27a to the north and T27b to the south. One large cut or hollow appeared in both halves of the trench; it was numbered F65 in T27b and F66 in T27a. The hollow F65/F66 was 17.2 m wide from north to south and sloped gently down at an angle of approximately 20 degrees within T27a to a full depth of 1.35 m . The feature contained no finds later than Roman, comprising seven sherds of pottery and thirteen fragments of Roman tile. A large quantity of large lumps of greensand blocks were found in the top fill of T27a, ie towards the northern end of the trench. These probably derived from collapse and/or robbing of the adjacent spina. It is now understood that the superstructure of the circus was probably dismantled and the site levelled to the top of the foundation level in the late Roman period. Such a date for this collapse layer is consistent with this hypothesis, since no post-Roman material was associated with it. If the collapse is of late Roman date, then the implication is that the hollow was created during the circus' period of use or soon after it went out of use, but before the circus' demolition. Recent excavations at the western end of the spina (within Circular Road North CAT report forthcoming) revealed an erosion hollow between approximately 0.5 m and 0.8 m deep around the southern edge of the curved end of the spina. This is interpreted as the result of chariots turning abruptly and eroding the track as they followed the racing line around the turning-posts. Similar erosion is, therefore, likely to have occurred at the eastern end of the spina. Although the shallow sides of F65/F66 are typical of such a 'hollow-way', this feature is particularly deep. Nevertheless, the feature's profile is atypical of Roman quarry-pits which have been found elsewhere during the project, and in areas around the circus. For example, quarry-pits in Area J1 (East) and Area J1 (North) were steep-sided and considerably deeper. Indeed, the large pit beyond the east end of the circus in T33 of this evaluation is much more typical of a quarry-pit.

Other trenches positioned over the Roman circus were T23, T28, and T29 (cavea wall foundations) and T26 (spina).

## Trench 27 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F65 | ?Erosion hollow | Roman tile | Roman |
| F66 | ?Erosion hollow | Roman pottery, brick and tile | Roman |

Trench 28: summary (Figs 2, 6, 17)
This trench was specifically located to intercept the two lines of the walls of the Roman circus cavea at the semicircular end of the circus, adjacent to the presumed location of its monumental arch. It lies within the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, but not within the abbey SAM area.

T28 was dug in ground which was heavily disturbed by modern services. Despite this, it contained a number of significant deposits. These included a compact Roman gravel surface (F57), and a medieval or later robber trench (F62). F57 was cut by a post-Roman pit (F58). The gravel surface was found at a depth of 1.0 m below ground-level and extended from flush against the cavea wall foundation eastwards to the end of the trench. It resembled other gravel surfaces previously found on the exterior side of the circus (for instance at GAL Areas C1 and C2; CAT Report 361). Cleaning above the gravel surface produced pottery of the late 2nd to 3rd century.

The robber trench F62 was precisely in the position where the outer wall of the circus cavea should have stood, and there is no doubt that it is the robber trench of that wall and its foundation. The bulk of recovered finds were of Roman date, but the robbing is dated by a sherd of Fabric 13, probably of 12th-century date. There was one piece of possible peg-tile from this context. It may be intrusive, or it may indicate a later period of robbing.

Other trenches positioned over the site of the Roman circus were T23 and T29 (cavea wall foundations), T26 (spina) and T27 (racetrack).

## Trench 28 - context and finds data

| Context | type <br> C57 | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compacted gravel surfaceRoman pottery (late 2nd- <br> late 3rd century) from <br> above the surface | Roman |  |  |
| F58 | Pit | ?peg-tile, 12th-century <br> p6ttery, residual Roman <br> pottery and tile | post-Roman <br> medieval |
|  | Robber trench |  |  |

Trench 29: summary (Figs 2, 6, 17-18)
This trench was specifically located to intercept the two lines of the walls of the Roman circus cavea at the curved end of the circus, just to the south of the presumed monumental arch entrance, below a standing 19th-century building (to be retained). It lies outside and to the south of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, and outside the abbey SAM area.

T29 contained a large modern quarry-pit (F25/F63), which had cut through the area where the circus walls originally stood. The quarry-pit was cut by three modern service runs (unnumbered).

A large lump of masonry (F64) was found at the west end of the trench. Rather than being an in situ foundation, perhaps for the circus, this is more likely to be a fragment of the robbed-out abbey precinct wall.

A 13th- to 14th-century copper-alloy bar mount from a leather belt was a residual find from F25.

Other trenches positioned over the Roman circus were T23, and T28 (cavea wall foundations), T26 (spina), and T27 (racetrack).

## Trench 29 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F25 | Quarry-pit | 19th- to 20th-century pottery, peg-tile, <br> clay pipe, residual Roman pottery, | modern |
|  |  | SF 21 (modern ceramic wheel) and |  |
|  |  | SF 22 (copper-alloy mount) |  |


| F63 | Quarry-pit |  | post-medieval |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F64 | ?Foundation | 19th- to 20th-century pottery, residual | modern |
|  |  | Roman tile |  |

## Trench 30: summary (Figs 2, 6, 18)

T30 was located in the car-park area north of Napier Road and east of Mersea Road, to the south of St Johns' Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It contained a series of modern concrete stanchions (unnumbered) relating to 20thcentury military buildings. F128 cut the fill of a large post-medieval quarry-pit (F128) which occupied the entire trench. It was excavated to a depth of 0.30 m in the trench bottom (ie to 31.60 m ), but augur probing showed that it was at least 2 m deeper than trench bottom (ie 29.60 m ). The feature was therefore over 2.3 m in depth and appears to be part of the quarry-pit located in T29 to the west and T33 to the south. The quarry-pit fill contained peg-tile and post-medieval pottery. The sloping northern edge of the quarry-pit was found at a depth of 1.06 mm at the northern end of the trench. Following this edge angle upwards, the pit's northern edge would have fallen between the end of the trench and the abbey precinct wall (which is still standing at this point).

Trench 30 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F128 | Quarry-pit | post-medieval pottery, peg-tile <br> (not retained) | post-medieval |

Trench 31: summary (Figs 2, 6, 19)
T31 was located in the car-park area north of Napier Road and east of Mersea Road, to the south of St Johns' Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It contained a large quarry-pit (F28), with a Roman cremation burial (F32) cut into its lower fill at a depth of 1.64 m below ground-level. This finding confirms the Roman date of the quarry-pit itself.

Other excavated remains were modern and of no archaeological significance; these included two modern service runs (F29 and F30) and two unnumbered modern service runs.

Quarry-pit F28 was exposed throughout T31 below modern service runs and surfacing and was clearly a large feature extending beyond the trench edges. Intrusive peg-tile and post-medieval pottery were recovered in very low density, but the bulk of the finds were residual Roman, including 151 sherds of late Roman pottery ( 1.85 kg ), a 2nd-century Roman coin, a copper-alloy fragment and a number of nails. Simple discarding of rubbish cannot be ruled out, but the presence of Roman inhumation burials in T33 ( 20 m to the south) suggests that the nails found in T31 could derive from the Roman burials within the quarry-pit, and include both nails from the wooden coffins and hobnails from Roman shoes. Another (residual) Roman find was a coin of Pertinax, dated AD 193. The quarry-pit did not extend as far south as T33, as far north as T32 or as far east as T32, and so must have been approximately 25 m in diameter.

The cremation burial was left in situ. Pottery fragments from cleaning around the burial suggests that it is dated to the 3rd or 4th century AD. The date corresponds with the Area C2 burial ground and with the group of seven burials found within the Napier Road excavations of 2006 (CAT report forthcoming), Cremated bone was visible in the pot. It is anticipated that this burial will be lifted and examined at a later date.

## Trench 31 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F28 | Quarry-pit | intrusive peg-tile and post-medieval | Roman |
|  |  | pottery, Roman pottery and tile, |  |
|  |  | Roman metal small finds including copper- <br> alloy coin (Pertinax AD 193), and Roman |  |
|  |  | nails and hobnails |  |


| F29 | Service run | modern |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F30 | Service run | modern |
| F32 | Cremation burial Roman pottery of late 3rd/4th | Roman |

Trench 32: summary (Figs 2, 6)
T32 was located in the car-park area north of Napier Road and east of Mersea Road, to the south of St Johns' Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It contained a post-medieval or later land drain (F13), five modern concrete stanchions (F8-F12) of a former military building, and a modern road surface (in section, F14). None of these contexts produced finds, and none of the contexts are of archaeological significance.

## Trench 32 - context and finds data

| Context | type | period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F8-F12 | Stanchion | modern |
| F13 | Land drain | post-medieval/modern |
| F14 | Road | modern |

## Trench 33: summary (Figs 2, 6, 19)

T33 was the southernmost trench in Area B1b. It was located in the car-park area north of Napier Road and east of Mersea Road, to the south of St Johns' Abbey precinct and the abbey SAM area. It was dug in two parts, T33a being its western and T33b its eastern part.

T33 contained seven modern features, ie six concrete stanchions (F20-F23, F26F27) similar to those within T32, and a modern service run (F15).

Significant archaeological remains included a quarry-pit (F24) and three possible grave cuts (F16, F17, F19).

Although there were no post-Roman finds from the quarry-pit, its proximity and similarity of its fill to the post-medieval quarry-pit in T30, which extended south of T30 towards T33, suggests that it is part of the same quarry-pit and thus of postmedieval rather than Roman date. Furthermore, the scale of the quarry-pit and the proximity to T30 and the post-medieval quarry-pit in T29 suggests the likelihood that these quarry-pits are one and the same feature with an estimated diameter of approximately 40 m . This scale of post-medieval quarrying is consistent with the quarry-pits located in Area J1 in 2004 and 2005 via archaeological trenching and mitigation works (CAT report forthcoming).

At the east end of T33, other significant archaeological remains included three grave cuts (F16, F17, F19), and a gravel patch or ?post-pad (F18). The two intercutting graves F16 and F17 were both aligned WSW-ESE. Bones and iron (?coffin) nails were visible at the excavated level; they were left in situ. Another potential grave cut (F19), which ran parallel to the ?grave cuts F16/F17, also showed iron nails at the excavated level (possibly coffin nails).

F17 appeared to be rather long, and so may actually be two separate grave cuts.
A small patch of compacted gravel surface (F18) overlapped the edge of ?grave cut F17. It may have had a structural function, such as a post-pad, or it may be a remnant from a larger area of now-missing surfacing. All three ?grave cuts F16/F17 and F19 cut pale brown natural clay (L6).

Trench 33 - context and finds data

| Context | type | dated finds | period <br> F15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Service run |  | modern |  |

## 6 Finds (Fig 20)

6.1 The small finds, bulk metalwork and slag by Nina Crummy

## Coins and jeton

The Roman coins range in date from the late 2nd century to the late 3rd century, but only the earliest is stratified in a Roman context. The late medieval jeton would have been used for reckoning accounts, but some were fraudulently passed off as coinage.

SF 39 (2) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Silver coin. Pertinax, denarius, reverse VICTO AV G, as RIC 434 but VICTO not VICTOR (see note, RIC, p 89). AD 193. Diameter 19 mm . Weight 3.18 g .
SF 27. (60) F76. Lime-kiln/pit. Medieval or post-medieval. Copperalloy coin. Illegible late 3rd-century radiate antoninianus. Diameter 14 mm . Weight 0.94 g .

SF 21. (16) F42. Compacted surface. Medieval? Copper-alloy/base silver coin, illegible and in fragments, but probably a 3rd-century antoninianus. Approximate diameter 18 mm .
SF 35. (108) F126. Midden. Medieval. Copper-alloy jeton of Charles VI of France, 1380-1422 (as Mitchiner 1988, 175-8). Diameter 28 mm. Weight 3.53 g .

## General small finds - Roman

Other than a late Roman lamp and armlet, both possibly from disturbed burials, the Roman small finds cannot be closely dated.

Fig 20, 1. SF 28. (85) F91. Modern service run. Complete copper-alloy child-sized armlet. The terminals, which overlap slightly, are in the form of flat snake's heads and diagonal grooves run back from them for some distance along the D-section hoop. Diameter 41 mm , thickness 2 mm , height 2.5 mm .

SF 38. (7) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Small copper-alloy sheet fragment. 10 by 9 mm .

Fig 20. 2. SF 29. (55) F68. Pit. Post-medieval. Fragment of a closed lamp in a hard oxidised fabric. The discus has a double rosette of raised lines. The upper part of the handle is missing. There is a raised concentric band within the footring. Diameter 44 mm , surviving length 46 mm , height 26 mm . This lamp can be grouped with three early 4th-century 'rosette' lamps from the foundations of the Butt Road Roman church (CAR 2, 80, nos 2109-2111).

SF 30. (1) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Five fragments of iron sheet. Maximum dimensions 38 by $11 \mathrm{~mm}, 25$ by $20 \mathrm{~mm}, 29$ by $11 \mathrm{~mm}, 20$ by $13 \mathrm{~mm}, 17$ by 12 mm .

SF 33. (6) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. a) Two thick iron fragments, probably part of the same block but not joining. Maximum dimensions 34 by 25 , 16 mm thick; 37 by $20 \mathrm{~mm}, 16 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick. b) Narrow slightly curved strip fragment. Length 48 mm , width 10 mm .
SF 31. (1) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Fourteen(?) hobnails. Length of best preserved 14 mm .
SF 32. (6) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Ten iron hobnails. Length of the best preserved 21 mm .
SF 36. (107) F109. Midden. Medieval. Iron hobnail. Length 16 mm.
(1) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Four small amorphous iron fragments.

40 by $20 \mathrm{~mm}, 22$ by $21 \mathrm{~mm}, 23$ by $23 \mathrm{~mm}, 24$ by 16 mm .
(1) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Three fragments of vitrified clay. Weight 17 g .
(6) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. a) Iron slag. Weight 107 g. b) Fragment of vitrified clay. Weight 5 g .

SF 44. (42) F60. Pit. ?Roman fragment of a limestone paving slab or block. Length 131 mm , width (incomplete) 91 mm , thickness 26 mm .

## Medieval and post-medieval

The medieval and later items include an iron lift-key and a Norwegian ragstone hone, both typical of late Anglo-Saxon and early medieval assemblages, and a late medieval strap-mount. A number of Mayen lava quernstone fragments may be either Roman or medieval, the trade with Germany in these stones operating within each period, but are included here as they derive from post-Roman contexts. A deposit of medieval briquetage sherds from F126 points to coastal-made salt being traded inland in the vessels in which it was produced. Many have mortar on the outer face, suggesting that they were used as building material.
Fig 20, 3. (3) F25. Quarry-pit. Post-medieval. Copper-alloy bar mount from a leather strap. The central ridge is hollow-backed, the side flanges are ribbed. One of the terminal rivets remains. Length 23 mm , width 6 mm . Mounts of this type date from the 13th to later 14th century and appear on contemporary effigies and sculptures on the belts of knights and on bridle straps (Egan \& Pritchard 1991, 207-215).

SF 22. (21) F43. Pit. Post-medieval. Fragment of copper-alloy sheet. 15 by 14 mm .

SF 26. (61) F76. Lime-kiln/pit. Medieval or post-medieval. Copper-alloy boss, in fragments. The centre is only slightly domed. Diameter 35 mm .

SF 34. (37) L9 (above F57). Lower topsoil. Post-Roman. Fragment sawn from an antler beam. The rough outer surface has been removed by a series of short knife strokes. Length 61 mm , maximum diameter 52 mm .
(5) F24. Quarry-pit. Post-medieval. Amorphous fragment. 15 by 23 mm .

SF 43 (10) F33. Partially robbed wall foundation. Medieval? Iron lift-key with rolled over suspension terminal and short rounded bit. Length 108 mm .
(36) F37. Pit. Post-medieval? Convex iron stud head, with only a short part of the shank remaining. Diameter 24 mm , length 11 mm .
(14) F42. Compacted surface. Medieval? Iron sheet fragment. 26 by 26 mm .
(49) F75 Sx 1. Ditch. Medieval or post-medieval. Iron split-spike loop. Length 46 mm .

SF 25. (8) L3. Soil accumulation. Post-medieval. Bent iron strap fragment with nail hole for attachment. Length 55 mm , width 27 mm .

SF 23. (9) F53. Clay floor? Medieval? Lead drip. 8 by 25 by 7 mm .
SF 45. (104) F126. Midden. Medieval. Two offcuts of lead sheet. Maximum dimensions 43 by $32 \mathrm{~mm}, 66$ by 17 mm .
(102) F126. Midden. Medieval. Thirteen fragments and five small chips of a rectangular trough of briquetage. The fabric consists of coastal alluvium mixed with chopped vegetable matter, fired to a red-brown. One sherd is a knife-trimmed rim. Total weight 712 g .

SF 24. (13) F33. Partially robbed wall foundation. Medieval? Norwegian ragstone hone, slightly tapering in both width and thickness. The lower, narrower, end is broken. Maximum dimensions: length 94 mm , width 39 mm , thickness 16 mm .

SF 18. (100) F124. Pit. Medieval. Fragment from the rim of a large lava quernstone with traces of tooling on the worn upper surface. The underside is quite regular but rough. Maximum thickness 112 mm . Weight 2.04 g .

SF 20. (24) F45. Pit. Post-medieval. Fragment from the rim of a lava quernstone, with diagonal dressing on the grinding surface and vertical dressing on the edge. Maximum dimensions 77 by $48 \mathrm{~mm}, 22 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick.
SF 46. (103) F126. Midden. Medieval. Abraded fragment of lava quernstone, with no worked surface remaining. Maximum dimensions 60 by 43 by 34 mm .
SF 42. (72) F94. Pit. Medieval. Abraded fragment of lava quernstone, with no worked surface remaining. Maximum dimensions 35 by 23 by 17 mm .

## Modern and unstratified

SF 40. (3) F25. Quarry-pit. Post-medieval. Ceramic insulator from a highvoltage electrical structure, possibly a pylon. 20th century. Diameter 38 mm , height 21 mm .
(83). Unstratified. Amorphous iron lump, possibly slag. 39 by 30 by 27 mm .

## Iron nails

Nails have a round flat or slightly convex head unless otherwise specified. Those described as complete may lack the last few millimetres of the shank (see Table 1).
Table 1: iron nails.

| Trench | Context | $\begin{gathered} \text { Finds } \\ \text { no } \end{gathered}$ | Type | Description | Dimensions (in mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T9 | F109 | 107 | Midden. Medieval to post-medieval | 1, incomplete; 4 shank fragments | 28; 26, 25, 22, 20 |
| T10 | F105 | 80 | Pit. Roman | 4, complete; 2 shank fragments | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 59,54,52,45 ; 29, \\ 20 \end{array}$ |
| T11 | F126 | 102 | Midden. Late medieval or postmedieval | 1, complete | 33 |
| T12 | F93 | 69 | Pit. ?Roman | 1, complete; 1 , incomplete | 60; 30 |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | Pit. ?Roman | 5 complete; 1 clenched shank fragment | 65, 60, $45 \times 3 ; 43$ |
| T13 | - | 83 | Unstratified | 1, complete (clenched); 1, incomplete | 85; 44 |
| T13 | F81 | 64 | Ditch. Medieval | 2 complete; 1 shank fragment | 80, 60; 68 |
| T14 | F75 | 49 | Ditch. Medieval or post-medieval | 2 complete; 1 , incomplete | 77, 38; 29 |
| T14 | L22 | 74 | Dump. Medieval | 2, complete | 50; 60 |
| T17c | F76 | 76 | Lime-kiln/pit. Medieval | 1, complete | 63 |
| T17c | L13 | 63 | Topsoil. Postmedieval | 2, complete | 59, 46 |
| T18 | F33 | 10 | Partially robbed wall foundation. Medieval | 1, complete | 62 |
| T14 | F37 | 36 | Pit. Post-medieval | 1, complete. clenched | 40 |
| T18 | F42 | 14 | Surface. Medieval | 1, incomplete; 1 shank fragment | 51, 35 |
| T18 | L3 | 8 | Accumulation. Post-medieval | 2, incomplete | 61, 43 |
| T23 | F67 | 52 | Pit. Post-medieval | 2, complete (one clenched); 1 incomplete | 60, 40; 37 |
| T25 | L29 | 91 | Accumulation. Medieval or postmedieval | shank fragment | 40 |
| T31 | F28 | 1 | Quarry-pit. Roman | 3, complete; 2, incomplete; 7 shank fragments | 50, 34 (clenched), <br> $41 ; 31,11 ; 44, \times 2$, <br> 34, 29, $25 \times 3$ (one clenched) |

### 6.2 The Roman pottery

by S Benfield

## Introduction

In total, the evaluation produced just over $22 \mathrm{~kg}(2,2082 \mathrm{~g})$ of Roman pottery. This is a large assemblage in comparison to other evaluations for the Alienated Land project. The pottery was recorded using the Roman pottery fabric type series devised for CAR 10, in which all the fabrics are recorded as two-letter codes (Table 2). Where appropriate in Table 2, reference has been made to the corresponding fabric types described in the National Roman Fabric Reference Collection (Tomber \& Dore 1998). The vessel forms were recorded using the Camulodunum (Cam) Roman pottery form type series (Hawkes \& Hull 1947; Hull 1958). Samian vessels were catalogued where possible using Dragendorff (Dr) form numbers or other common form type references following those used in Webster 1996. The pottery fabrics and the vessel forms present in each site context were recorded for each finds number. The number of sherds was recorded for each fabric, and the identifiable pottery forms present in each fabric type. The total weight of pottery and an overall spot date was recorded for each finds number.

Table 2: Roman pottery fabric codes and fabric names used in this report (after CAR 10).

| Fabric code | Fabric name | National Roman Fabric Reference Collection fabric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | amphoras, all excluding Dressel 20 and Brockley Hill/ Verulamium region amphora |  |
| AJ | amphoras, Dressel 20 | BAT AM 1, BAT AM 3 |
| AU | amphoras, miscellaneous, including examples possibly from the Brockley Hill/Verulamium region |  |
| BA | plain samian forms |  |
| SG | South Gaulish plain samian | LGF SA |
| CG | Central Gaulish plain samian | LEZ SA 2 |
| MV | Central Gaulish plain samian - Les Matres-de-Veyre | LMV SA |
| EG | East Gaulish plain samian |  |
| BX | decorated samian forms |  |
| SG | South Gaulish decorated samian | LGF SA |
| CG | Central Gaulish decorated samian | LEZ SA 2 |
| CB | Colchester red colour-coated, roughcast ware | COL CC2 |
| CH | oxidised Hadham wares | HAD OX |
| CL | Central Gaulish and 'Rhenish-type, fine colour-coated wares |  |
| NO | other fabrics |  |
| CZ | Colchester and other red colour-coated wares | COL CC2 |
| DJ | coarse oxidised and related wares | COL WH |
| DZ | fine oxidised wares |  |
| EA | Nene Valley colour-coated ware | LNV CC |
| EZ | other fine colour-coated wares, mostly white/buff |  |
| LR | Cologne (lower Rhineland) ware | KOL CC |
| GA | BB1: black-burnished ware, category 1 | DOR BB1 |
| GB | BB2: black-burnished ware, category 2 | COL BB2 |
| GX | other coarse wares, principally locally-produced grey wares |  |
| HZ | large storage jars and other vessels in heavily-tempered grey wares |  |
| KX | black-burnished ware (BB2) types in pale grey ware |  |
| MP | Oxfordshire-type red colour-coated wares | OXF RS |
| MQ | white-slipped fine wares and parchment wares |  |
| MR | brown colour-coated ware, including Drag from 38 bowls |  |
| TE | Nene Valley, white fabric with black grits, unslipped or with reddish wash | LNV WH |
| TY | mortaria, other British (not Colchester or Verulamium) |  |
| TZ | mortaria, Colchester and mortaria imported from the Continent | COL WH (Colchester mortaria only) |
| WA | silvery micaceous grey wares |  |

## Roman pottery discussion (Table 3)

Much of the Roman pottery is residual in later-dated features. Therefore, in addition to the catalogue of the pottery below, apart from comments on pottery associated with specific Roman features, only broad comments on the overall assemblage are made. None of the pottery has been illustrated.

There is a broad range of pottery. As well as local wares, the pottery types include both imports and regional imports. As well as coarse wares and storage jars, the assemblage includes fine wares, mortaria and amphoras. Viewed as a single assemblage, the date range of the pottery types spans the whole of the Roman period of the 1st-4th centuries. However, there is little or no pottery that can be specifically dated to the early Roman, pre-Flavian period or to the late 4th century.

The earliest closely-dated pottery is two sherds from decorated samian bowls of form Dr 29, from F68 (finds no 55) in T23 and from Roman quarry-pit F28 (finds no 1) in T31. These are probably pre-Flavian, but were current until c AD 85 (Webster 1996, 40). Also some of the plain South Gaulish samian from F68, based on the fabric, might be of Claudio-Neronian date. However, apart from this small quantity of samian, the earliest pottery can only be broadly dated as 1 st century or 1st-early 2nd century and no specific pre-Flavian forms or fabrics are recorded.

The latest-dated pottery consists of sherds from the Nene Valley, Hadham and Oxford potteries. There are 37 sherds of Nene Valley colour-coated ware (Fabric EA), nine sherds of oxidised Hadham ware (Fabric CH), and three sherds of Oxfordshire-type red colour-coated ware (Fabric MP). Nene Valley colour-coated ware began to appear in Colchester from the early-mid 3rd century (CAR 10, 279) and oxidised Hadham ware appeared from the mid 3rd century, but is more typical of the 4th century (CAR 10, 297). Oxfordshire-type red colour-coated ware began to appear at Colchester in small quantities around the beginning of the 4th century, but is typically late 4th century as the majority is recovered from post-Roman contexts (CAR 10, 304).

Ten contexts are dated as Roman, or probably Roman: F28, F32, F57, F66, F70, F82, F93, F94, F105 and L6. No pottery was recovered from F70 (a ditch in T17), from F82 (a pit in T13), or from L6 (a clay floor in T33).

F32 is an urned cremation burial in T1. This cremation was recorded, but was left in situ. However, a small quantity of pottery (finds no 4) was recovered while cleaning this feature which includes two grey ware sherds from a Cam 268 jar. These two grey ware sherds are almost certainly from the cremation urn itself, and the jar form can be dated to the early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century.

F57 is a gravel surface in T28. About 0.5 kg ( 44 sherds) of pottery is associated with this surface (finds no 36). This pottery includes black-burnished wares (Fabrics GA and GB) dating from after the early 2nd century, Central Gaulish samian of midlate 2nd-century date, a local colour-coat beaker of form Cam 392, dated mid-late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century, and a roller-stamped grey ware sherd that, while not closely datable, is possibly of 3rd-century date. The closely-datable pottery from this feature suggests a date of the late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century. However, it should be noted that if the single sherd from a Cam 279 jar (Fabric GB) is the later version of the form, that is, Cam 279C, then it would date from the early 3rd century (not before $c$ AD 220) to the 4th century.

F66 in T27 is an erosion hollow. Apart from four sherds of grey ware (Fabric GX) which can only be dated as Roman, there is one sherd of 2nd-century Central Gaulish samian from this feature (finds no 41).

F28, in T31, is a quarry-pit. There is a considerable quantity of pottery, about 1.8 kg ( 150 sherds) from this feature (finds nos 1 and 6 ). The closely-dated pottery spans the whole of the Roman period. The earliest-dated pottery is a sherd from a South Gaulish decorated samian bowl of form Dr 29, which can be dated to the Claudio-Neronian/early Flavian period (finds no 1). Late Roman pottery is represented from both finds numbers. The latest-dated pottery is six sherds of Hadham Oxidised ware which can be dated to the late 3rd-4th century, but is more typical of 4th-century contexts (CAR 10, 297). There are also several sherds from the Nene Valley potteries. These are a sherd from a mortarium (Fabric TE) and seven sherds of colour-coated ware (Fabric EA) dated early-mid 3rd to 4th century. From finds number 1 there is a sherd from a BB1: black-burnished ware, category 1 (Fabric GA) flanged bowl of form Cam 305A, dated from the third quarter of the 3rd century to the 4th century.

F82, F93, F94 and F105 are Roman pits. As has been previously stated, no pottery was recovered from pit F82 (T13).

A small quantity of pottery (fourteen sherds) from the pit F93 (T12) included a sherd of BB2: black-burnished ware, category 2 (Fabric GB), that can be dated to the early 2 nd to mid-late 3rd century (finds no 69).

There is a large quantity of pottery (about 7 kg ) from the pit F94 (T12, finds nos 71 and 72). The latest pottery from this feature can be dated to the late 3rd-4th century, and some sherds are probably of 4th- or late 4th-century date. Residual sherds include samian, local colour-coat wares (Fabric CB and CZ), and BB2: blackburnished ware, category 2 (Fabric GB) of 2nd- to 3rd-century date. Of the latedated Roman pottery, the finds number 71 sherds include one of colour-coated ware from the Nene Valley (Fabric EA) which can be dated to the early-mid 3rd to 4th century. The larger quantity of pottery from finds number 72 (over 6 kg ) includes a number of late Roman pottery fabrics and form types. There are sherds from the Nene Valley potteries, one sherd of mortarium (Fabric TE) and ten sherds of colourcoated ware (Fabric EA) dating from the early-mid 3rd to 4th century. The colourcoat sherds are from forms Cam 395 and Cam 407, of which Cam 395 can be more closely dated to the mid 3rd century(?) to 4th century (CAR 10, 392). There is also one sherd of Hadham Oxidised ware (Fabric CH) and two sherds of Oxfordshiretype red colour-coated ware (Fabric MP). The Hadham ware sherd can be dated as mid-late 3rd-4th century, and is more typical of the 4th century (CAR 10, 297). The Oxfordshire-type red colour-coated ware, although it is recorded in small quantities from the early-mid 3rd century at Colchester, is most common as residual sherds from post-Roman contexts (CAR 10, 304), indicating that, at Colchester, the majority is of late 4th-century date. The flanged bowl form Cam 305B, dating from the third quarter of the 3rd century to the 4th century, is recorded in Fabric KX. There are also sherds from a Cam 39 dish in BB1: black-burnished ware, category 1 (Fabric GA), a form which dates from the early Antonine period to the 4th century. Of note are two sherds from a flanged mortarium in Fabric TZ which has a prominent bead rim and flange type. This is comparable with several associated with kiln 25 at Colchester, which are described as a late type (Hull 1963, 155, fig 89, nos 16-20). Other pottery from the assemblage associated with kiln 25 includes the forms Cam 305 , dated to the third quarter of the 3rd century to the 4th century, and a Cam 395 pentice-moulded beaker, dated mid 3rd century(?) to 4th century, but usually dated as 4th century (CAR 10, 486).

The pit F105 in T10 contained a small quantity of pottery (finds no 80), among which are thirteen sherds, representing a partial pot, from a Nene Valley colourcoated ware beaker of form Cam 407. This beaker can be dated, at Colchester, to the second quarter of the 3rd century(?) to 4th century (CAR 10, 486-7).

Some of the Roman pottery from one of the pits dated to the post-medieval period, F68 in T23, is of specific interest. As well as containing possible pre-Flavian samian (see above), there are a few body sherds that are probably from a Brockley Hill/Verulamium region copy of a Gauloise 4 amphora (Fabric AU; both finds no 55). These amphora have been discussed by Symonds, the largest number being recorded from London (Symonds 2003, 54-5). At Colchester, an example was recovered in 2003 from a burial (F204) at the Handford House site (CAT Report 323 forthcoming) and others have been recognised among the illustrations in CAR 10 (fig 3.19, nos 18-21).
Table 3: the Roman pottery.

| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T9 | F109 | 107 | 10 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, straight-sided bowl with groove below plain rim and groove lower on body wall, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T9 | F112 | 110 | 50 | Fabric CZ, 2 sherds, Cam ?407, ?late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 4 sherds, one sherd with red ?paint residue on interior surface, sherds include Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century; Fabric MQ, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | ?late 3rd century |
| T9 | F118 | 109 | 141 | Fabric AJ, 1 sherd, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric CH, 1 sherd, mid-late 3rd-4th/4th century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, Cam 408-410, early-mid 3rd to 4th century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 2 sherds, Roman. |  | mid-late 3rd4th/4th century |
| T10 | F91 | 79 | 47 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, closed form (no interior slip), possibly a sherd from an inkwell (Ritterling 13), 2nd century; Fabric CZ or Fabric CL(NO), 1 sherd, fine sherd with metallic gloss slip, ?3rd century; Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 7 sherds, Roman. |  | early ?2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T10 | F105 | 80 | 1,168 | Fabric EA, 13 sherds, partial pot, Cam 407, mid 3rd-4th century; Fabric GX, 11 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 8 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric KX, 1 sherd, Cam 39, mid 2nd-3rd century. |  | mid 3rd-4th century |
| T11 | F126 | 101 | 24 | Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | 1st-2nd/3rd century |
| T11 | F126 | 101 | 3 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T11 | F126 | 102 | 50 | Fabric CB, 1 sherd, early 2nd-mid 3rd century; Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to midlate 3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 4 sherds, Roman. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T11 | F126 | 103 | 35 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T12 | F92 | 70 | 159 | Fabric BA(CG), Dr 38, early 2nd-2nd century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, mid-late 3rd to 4th century; Fabric ?FJ, sandy white ware handle sherd from a flagon or similar vessel, Verulamium region or possible Rhineland origin; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman. | contained postRoman pottery | mid-late 3rd to 4th century |
| T12 | F93 | 69 | 180 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 10 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T12 | F94 | 71 | 326 | Fabric CZ, 3 sherds, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, early 2nd4th century; Fabric GX, 15 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 3 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century, Fabric TZ, 1 sherd, Roman ?1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | Roman, earlymid 3rd to 4th century |
| T12 | F94 | 71 | 636 | Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, early-mid 3rd/late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, early 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 8 sherds, Roman, Fabric HZ, 4 sherds, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | early-mid 3rd/late 3rd-4th century |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | 3,240 | Fabric AA, 3 sherds, 1 st-early 3rd century; Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric CH, 1 sherd, burnt, mid-late 3rd-4th/4th century; Fabric CZ, 10 sherds, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric EA, 7 sherds, Cam 395, ?mid 3rd-4th century, Cam |  | late 3rd-4th/late 4th century |


| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 407, mid 3rd-4th century, Cam 408-410, early-mid 3rd-4th century; Fabric GA, 6 sherds, Cam 39, mid 2nd-4th century; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 50 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century, also includes a sherd from a roller-stamped pot, 3rd century; Fabric HZ, 22 sherds, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric KX, Cam 305B mid-late 3rd to 4th century; Fabric MP, 2 sherds, late 3rd-4th/late 4th century; Fabric MQ, 1 sherd, brown paint on cream background; Fabric TZ, 1 sherd, form type recorded in Hull 1963, fig 89 nos 16-20, ?4th century. |  |  |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | 1,168 | Fabric GA, 1 sherd, early 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman; Fabric HZ, 11 sherds, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric KX, 1 sherd, Cam 305B, late 3rd-4th century; Fabric TZ, 1 sherd. |  | late 3rd-4th century |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | 384 | Fabric AJ, 3 sherds, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, Dr 45, late 2nd to early-mid 3rd century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, early-mid 3rd to 4th century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 279, early 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 8 sherds, Roman. |  | early-mid 3rd to 4th century |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | 1,209 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric BA(EG), 2 sherds, Dr 45, late 2nd to early-mid 3rd century; Fabric CZ, 4 sherds, Cam 407, painted body sherd, red fabric, grey slipped surface with white painted decoration of leaves, rare, similar to CAR 10, fig 5.35 no 165, dated early-mid to late 3rd century; Fabric DJ 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric EA, 2 sherds, Cam 408-10, early-mid 3rd-4th century; Fabric GA, 4 sherds, Cam 124, Hadrianic/Antonine to early-mid 4th century; Fabric GB, 9 sherds, Cam 40B (2 pots), Cam 278, both form types dated early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century, Cam 305B, late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 38 sherds, Roman, Fabric HZ, 10 sherds, 1st2nd/3rd century; Fabric MQ, 1 sherd, Fabric TE, 1 sherd, mid 3rd-4th century. |  | late 3rd-4th century |
| T12 | F94 | 72 | 36 | Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1st-2nd/3rd } \\ \text { century } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| T13 | F81 | 64 | 405 | Fabric CB, 2 sherds, Cam 391, early 2nd-late 2nd/early 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 6 sherds, 5 sherds dated 1st-2nd/3rd century, 1 sherd, sandy fabric, burnt; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam 37B, early to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 23 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | early to mid-late 3rd century |
| T13 | F81 | 64 | 39 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman, ?1st2nd/3rd century |
| T13 | F83 | 66 | 90 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | Roman, ?1st2nd/3rd century |
| T13 | F84 | 67 | 18 | Fabric GX, 2 sherds, one from a lid, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T13 | F84 | 96 | 327 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam 278, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century, also sherds from a bowl; Fabric GX, 14 sherds, includes a beaker, possibly form Cam 108 dated 1st-early 2nd century; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st2nd/3rd century. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T13 | F84 | 96 | 27 | Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | 1st-2nd/3rd century |


| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight <br> (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T13 | F88 | 68 | 57 | Fabric $\mathrm{BA}(\mathrm{CG})$, 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 279C, early-mid 3rd to 4th century; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam 278, probably mid-late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century also includes sherds from a bowl; Fabric GX, 5 sherds, Roman. |  | early-mid 3rd to 4th century |
| T13 | F88 | 82 | 83 | Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 3 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. | contained postRoman pottery | Roman, ?early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T13 | F107 | 81 | 4 | Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, early-mid 2nd to early-mid 3rd century |  | early-mid 2nd to early-mid 3rd century |
| T13 | U/S | 83 | 2,716 | Fabric AA, 2 sherds, from 2 different amphoras, 1st to early-mid 2nd century; Fabric AJ, 3 sherds, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric BA(SG), 1 sherd, Dr 27, 1st century; Fabric BX(SG) 1 sherd, Dr 37, Flavian; Fabric BX(CG) 1 sherd, Dr 37, 2nd century; Fabric CB, 2 sherds, early 2nd to early/mid 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 14 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric EZ(LR), 1 sherd, late 1st/early 2nd-2nd century; Fabric GB, 11 sherds, Cam 37A (2 vessels), early 2nd-early 3rd century, Cam 278, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 152 sherds, Cam 243-244/246, 1st-early 2nd century, cam 227, Neronian-early 2nd century' Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century; Cam 299, early Antonine-4th century; Fabric HZ, 3 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric MP, 1 sherd, late 3rd-4th/late 4th century; Fabric MR, 1 sherd, $\operatorname{Dr} 38$, late 3rd-4th century; Fabric TZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | late 3rd-4th/late 4th century |
| T13 | U/S | 83 | 36 | Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | 1st-2nd/3rd century |
| T14 | F36 | 17 | 140 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, $\operatorname{Dr} 33$, stamped MV+TVLLI, (MUXTULLI) probably Muxtullus, see CAR 10, 134, stamp S712, dated c AD 140-160; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 278, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 9 sherds, Cam 307, late 2nd/early 3rd century-4th century; Fabric KX, 1 sherd, Cam 278, early 2nd-3rd century. |  | late 2nd/early 3rd-?4th century |
| T14 | F37 | 18 | 18 | Fabric GX, 3 sherds, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T14 | F39 | 20 | 12 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T14 | F40 | 45 | 61 | Fabric BA(?CG), 1 sherd, Dr 33, 2nd century; Fabric GX, 3 sherds, includes form Cam 218 dated 1st-early 2nd century, overall pottery dated Roman. |  | Roman, 2nd century |
| T14 | F40 | 46 | 20 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman. |  | 2nd century |
| T14 | F55 | 32 | 57 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GX, 2 sherds, Roman; |  | Roman |
| T14 | F56 | 44 | 45 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Cam ?299, early Antonine-4th century. |  | early Antonine4th century |
| T14 | F75 | 49 | 62 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, folded ?beaker in sandy fabric, possibly white slipped but abraded, Roman; Fabric GX, 5 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. | from Sx 1 | 1st-2nd/3rd century |
| T14 | F75 | 50 | 15 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, partly oxidised or scorched surface, Roman. |  | Roman |


| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T14 | F75 | 51 | 281 | Fabric AA, 1 sherd, 1st-early/mid 2nd century; Fabric AJ, 1 sherd, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 37B, early to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 7 sherds, Roman. | from Sx 2 | early to mid-late 3rd century |
| T14 | F90 | 73 | 35 | Fabric BX(CG), 1 sherd, Dr 37, 2nd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, small flat-rimmed bowl, Cam ?243-244/246, 1st-early 2nd century; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | 2nd century |
| T14 | L22 | 74 | 91 | Fabric BA(?CG), 3 sherds, ?2nd century; Fabric BX(CG), 1 sherd, ?Dr 37, 2nd century; Fabric BX(EG), 1 sherd, Dr 37, early-mid 2nd to early-mid 3rd century; Fabric TZ or TY, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | early-mid 2nd to early-mid 3rd century |
| T15 | F59 | 43 | 141 | Fabric BA(CG), Dr 33, 2nd century; Fabric DJ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman, Fabric $H Z, 1$ sherd, 1 st-2nd/3rd century. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T15 | F60 | 042 | 1,520 | Fabric GB, 6 sherds, Cam 278, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; GX, 2 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric HZ, 7 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric TZ, 1 sherd, Cam 497 variant, mid-late 2nd to ?3rd century. | contained postRoman pottery | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T17 | F76 | 76 | 169 | Fabric BA(CG), 2 sherds, 2nd century; Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, Dr 33, early-id 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric EA, 2 sherds, Cam 408-410, early-mid 3rd to 4th century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 39, mid 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 25 sherds, Roman. | contained postRoman pottery | early-mid 3rd to 4th century |
| T17 | L13 | 63 | 194 | Fabric ?AJ, 2 sherds, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric BA(EG) 1 sherd, Dr 38, mid-late 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GX, 7 sherds, includes lid sherd, Roman. |  | mid-late 2nd to ?mid 3rd century |
| T18 | F33 | 10 | 5 | Fabric CB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman; |  | early 2nd to mid 3rd century |
| T18 | F41 | 11 | 21 | Fabric $\mathrm{CH}, 1$ sherd, $\operatorname{Dr} 38$, mid-late 3rd to 4th century/4th century; Fabric GX, 2 sherds, Roman. |  | mid-late 3rd to 4th century/4th century |
| T18 | F42 | 14 | 1,111 | Fabric AJ, 1 sherd, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd early-mid 3rd/late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 303, early 2nd-late 2nd/early 3rd century; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman. |  | early-mid 3rd/late 3rd-4th century |
| T18 | F43 | 15 | 6 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Cam ?299, Roman, ?early Antonine-4th century. |  | ?early Antonine4th century |
| T18 | F43 | 22 | 30 | Fabric ?AA, 1 sherd, ?1st-early 2nd century. |  | ?1st-early 2nd century |
| T18 | F43 | 22 | 130 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, base sherd from large vessel, Roman | note: original record is T33, F22 | Roman |
| T18 | F50 | 29 | 100 | Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam ?37A, early 2nd to late 2nd/early 3rd century; Fabric GX, 7 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century. |  | early/mid 2ndlate 3rd/early 4th century |



| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T18 | F52 | 30 | 23 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, Roman, ?1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GX, 2 sherds, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T18 | L3 | 8 | 275 | Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 303, early 2nd to late 2nd/early 3rd century (pot rim is similar to CAR 10, fig 6.34 no 39); Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | early 2nd to late 2nd/early 3rd century |
| T18 | L3 | 12 | 28 | Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GB, 4 sherds Cam 278, mid 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | mid 2nd to midlate 3rd century |
| T20 | F79 | 57 | 3 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T22 | F48 | 25 | 105 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam 37, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 3 sherds, Roman. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T22 | F48 | 27 | 41 | Fabric BA(MV), 1 sherd, Dr 18/31 c AD 100-120; Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, Dr 38, early/mid 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. | from Sx 2 | early/mid 2nd to mid 3rd century |
| T22 | L3 | 26 | 37 | Fabric GB, 1 sherd, bowl, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century. | cleaning over layer | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T22 | L10 | 39 | 37 | Fabric CL(NO), 1 sherd, ?3rd century; Fabric GX, 5 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2ndlate 3rd/early 4th century. |  | early-mid 2nd/3rd or early 4th century |
| T23 | F67 | 52 | 591 | Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, Dr 31, mid 2nd to early-mid 3rd century; Fabric CZ, 2 sherds, Cam 392, mid-late 2nd to mid 3rd century, Cam 407 mid-late 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, Fabric DZ, 1 sherd; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, early 2nd-4th century; Fabric GB, 2 sherds, Cam 37?A, ?early 2nd-early 3rd century, Cam 37B, late 2nd/early 3rd to midlate 3rd century; Fabric GX, 26 sherds, Cam 268 early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | mid-late 3rd century |
| T23 | F68 | 55 | 628 | Fabric AA, 1 sherd, 1st-early 2nd century; Fabric ?AU, 3 sherds from a large vessel in red-brown very sandy fabric with cream surface or slip, possibly a Gaulish type amphora, copies of Gauloise 4 amphora forms from the Brockley Hill/Verulamium potteries have been discussed by Symonds, the largest number of these pots being recorded from London (Symonds 2003, 54-5) and an example was recovered from the 2003 excavations at Handford House, Colchester, from burial F204 (CAT Report 323 forthcoming); Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd early-mid 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 2 sherds, small thick handle sherd and body sherd, both in sandy fabric, possibly Verulamium region products?, 1st-mid 2nd/?3rd century; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric TZ, 2 sherds, spout from a mortaria of form Cam 195?, also part of a mortarium of form Cam 497 variant dated mid-late 2nd/?3rd century. |  | mid-late 2nd to 3rd century |
| T23 | F68 | 55 | 180 | Fabric BA(SG), 3 sherds, Dr 18, 1st century; Fabric BX(SG), Dr 29, pre-Flavian, Dr 30, 1st century, rim sherd with repair hole. |  | 1st century, possibly Neronian |
| T23 | F68 | 55 | 383 | Fabric AA, 1 sherd, ?1st-mid 2nd century; Fabric BA(SG), 9 sherds, 1st century; Fabric |  | early-mid 3rd- |


| Trench | context number or unstratified (U/S) | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | BX(SG), 2 sherds, Dr 29, Claudio-Neronian/early Flavian, Dr 30, 1st century; Fabric DJ, 7 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, early-mid 3rd-4th/late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GB, Cam 278, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 80 small sherds, Roman; Fabric WA, 1 sherd, Cam 122 or 123, Flavian-2nd century. |  | 4th century |
| T23 | F68 | 55 | 5 | Fabric BA(SG), 1 sherd, 1st century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T25 | F116 | 92 | 70 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 37A, early 2nd to late 2nd/early 3rd century, Cam 278 early 2nd to late mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 6 sherds, Roman. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T25 | F117 | 97 | 58 | Fabric GB sherd, Cam 37B, 3 sherds, early to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | early to mid-late 3rd century |
| T25 | F124 | 98 | 176 | Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, bead rim bowl with groove around body, 2nd-mid 3rd century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 37?B, late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 10 sherds, Cam 243-244/246, 1st-early 2nd century; cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century. |  | ?late 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century |
| T25 | L29 | 91 | 12 | Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century. |  | early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century |
| T27 | F66 | 41 | 17 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric GX, 3 sherds, Roman. |  | Roman, ?2nd century |
| T27 | F66 | 54 | 26 | Fabric GX, 3 sherds, Roman. |  | Roman |
| T28 | F62 | 33 | 164 | Fabric AJ, 1 sherd, 1s-early 3rd century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 39, mid 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 4 sherds, Roman. |  | mid 2nd-4th century |
| T28 | F62 | 34 | 406 | Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 40B, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 16 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century, Cam 280-281, mid-late 2nd-4th century; Fabric HZ, 2 sherds, Cam 273, 1st-2nd/3rd century, Fabric KX, 1 sherd, Cam $37 / 38$, early 2 nd-mid 3rd century |  | mid-late 2nd-4th century |
| T28 | F57 | 36 | 502 | Fabric AA, 2 sherds, 1 st-early 3rd century; Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, Dr 31, mid-late 2nd century; Fabric CZ, 4 sherds, Cam 392, mid-late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 279, early 2nd-4th century; Fabric GB, 3 sherds, Cam 40B, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 30 sherds, roller stamped sherd, 3rd century; Fabric MQ, 1 sherd; Fabric TY 1 sherd. | note: original label is T14, F37 | late 2nd to midlate 3rd century |
| T28 | F57 | 36 | 8 | Fabric GX, 1 sherd, Roman. | cleaning over feature, note: original label T14, F37 | Roman |
| T30 | F25 | 3 | 37 | Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 3 sherds, ?Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd-4th century. |  | early/mid 2ndlate 3rd-4th century |
| T31 | F28 | 1 | 459 | Fabric AA, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric AJ, 1 sherd, 1st-early 3rd century; Fabric CZ, 4 sherds, Cam 392, late 2nd-mid 3rd century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam |  | late 2nd to midlate 3rd century |



| Trench | $\begin{gathered} \text { context number } \\ \text { or unstratified } \\ (\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{S}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | finds number | weight (g) | Roman pottery fabrics (with number of sherds and dated form types) | comments | Roman pottery spot date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 305A, late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GB, Cam 37B, late 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 10 sherds, Roman. |  |  |
| T31 | F28 | 1 | 278 | Fabric $\mathrm{CH}, 1$ sherd from a flagon neck, mid-late 3rd to 4th century/4th century; Fabric CZ, 4 sherds, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 2 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric GB, 3 sherds, Cam 40B, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 14 sherds, Cam 266, 1st-early 2nd century, Cam 280-281, mid-late 2nd-4th century; Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd /3rd century, Fabric TE, 1 sherd, mid 3rd-4th century; Fabric WA, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | mid-late 3rd to 4th century/4th century |
| T31 | F28 | 1 | 4 | Fabric DJ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st-2nd/3rd } \\ \text { century } \end{gathered}$ |
| T31 | F28 | 1 | 149 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, burnt, 2nd century; Fabric BA(EG), 1 sherd, early-mid 2nd to mid 3rd century; Fabric CB, 1 sherd, early 2nd-mid 3rd century; Fabric CH, 1 sherd, mid-late 3rd to 4th/4th century; Fabric CZ, 6 sherds, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric EA, 2 sherds, early-mid 3rd-4th/late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 28 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century. |  | mid-late 3rd to 4th/4th century |
| T31 | F28 | 6 | 18 | Fabric BA(CG), 1 sherd, 2nd century; Fabric CH, 1 sherd, mid-late 3rd to 4th/4th century; Fabric CZ, 1 sherd, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric DJ, 3 sherds, $1 \mathrm{st}-2 \mathrm{nd} / 3 \mathrm{rd}$ century; Fabric EA, 1 sherd, early-mid 3rd/late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 304 or 305A, late 2nd-4th century; Fabric GX, 13 sherds, Roman. |  | mid-late 3rd to 4th/4th century |
| T31 | F28 | 6 | 249 | Fabric CH, 2 sherds, late 3rd-4th/4th century; Fabric DJ, 3 sherds, 1st-2nd/3rd century; Fabric EA, 4 sherds, mid-late 3rd to 4th/4th century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 40B, early 2nd to mid-late 3rd century; Fabric GX, 30 sherds, Roman, Fabric MQ, 1 sherd, Roman. |  | late 3rd-4th/4th century |
| T31 | F32 | 4 | 143 | Fabric GA, 1 sherd, Cam 305A, late 3rd-4th century; Fabric GB, 1 sherd, Cam 278, 3rd century; Fabric GX 15 sherds, Cam 268, early/mid 2nd-late 3rd/early 4th century. | cut quarry-pit F28 | late 3rd-4th century |
| T33 | F24 | 5 | 38 | Fabric HZ, 1 sherd, 1st-2nd/3rd century. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1st-2nd/3rd } \\ \text { century } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  | 22,082 |  |  |  |

### 6.3 The post-Roman pottery <br> by $H$ Brooks

## Description of pottery

Total number of sherds 201, total weight $1,883 \mathrm{~g}$. All fabric descriptions are after CAR 7. Fabrics present are: Fabric 13 (early medieval sandy ware); Fabric 20 (medieval sandy grey ware); Fabric 21 (sandy orange ware); Fabric 21a (Colchester-type ware), Fabric 22 (Hedingham ware); Fabric 36 (London-type ware); Fabric 40 (post-medieval red earthenware or PMRE); Fabric 42 (Border ware); Fabric $45 f$ (Westerwald stoneware); Fabric 48d (modern ironstone); and Fabric 51b (flowerpot).
This is an interesting group of material. Out of the total of 201 sherds, medieval sherds (120) were much more common than post-medieval sherds (81). Similarly, out of a total weight of $1,883 \mathrm{~g}, 1780 \mathrm{~g}$ was medieval ( $94 \%$ of total sherd weight). The commonest fabrics were Fabric 13 ( $44 \%$ of total sherd weight), followed by Fabric 20 ( $11 \%$ of total sherd weight). There was also a higher proportion of Hedingham ware than in a normal Colchester assemblage. Also, the presence of London-type ware is unusual. These two factors indicate the presence of a high-status site, exactly what would be expected here on an abbey site.

The distribution of medieval pottery is also instructive here. The bulk of the medieval pottery came from T13, T14 and T15, which are the closest trenches to the presumed site of the abbey church. Further, approximately one-third of all medieval pottery came from T14 (albeit mainly from residual contexts).

The post-medieval wares are not particularly worthy of comment, except to say that it is quite unusual not to find Fabric 46 tin-glazed earthenwares. Fabric 40 PMRE was by far the commonest post-medieval fabric ( 859 g out of $1,003 \mathrm{~g}$ ).

## Medieval fabrics

T9
F118, finds number 109
1 sherd Fabric 13, 4g.
T12
F92, finds number 70
5 sherds Fabric 13, 57g. One sherd is Fabric 13s (shelly), another is a B2A rim form, later 12th century. 4 sherds Fabric 20, 126g. Includes a B2a rim with vertical thumbed-strip. Later 12th-early ?13th century.

F92, finds number 70
13 sherds Fabric 13, 125g, includes a flat-topped rim sherd, probably late 12th-early 13th century.

T13
F83, finds number 66
12 sherds Fabric 13,159g. Fragments of cooking pot in overfired Fabric ?13, 12th century.
F84, finds number 96
1 sherds Fabric 13,13g. flat-topped rim, 13th century.
F88, finds number 82
1 sherd Fabric 20, 7g. 13th century.
F88, finds number 68
2 sherds Fabric 13, 13g, 12th century.
F107, finds number 81
1 sherd Fabric 13, 4g. 1 sherd Fabric 20, 66g, from robust, slashed handle from ?jug, 12th century.

T14
F36, finds number 17
2 sherds Fabric 13, 16g, 12th century.

F37, finds number 18
7 sherds Fabric 21, 111g, 1 sherd Fabric 21a, 9g. Colchester type is white slip under green glaze, probably in imitation of London or ?Hedingham ware, 6 sherds Fabric 22, 146g.
Hedingham inc a flat-topped upright jug rim. 1 sherd London type ware Fabric 36. London type has red painted slip decoration under green glaze, 10 g .

F40, finds number 46
4 sherds Fabric 13, 44g, 12th century.
F40, finds number 45
1 sherd Fabric 13, 24g, 2 sherds Fabric 22. Hedingham is speckled green glaze over pale fine, orange body, 33g, 2 sherd London-type ware Fabric 36. London-type is ?jug base and body sherd, 24 g . There is a Fabric 51b flowerpot from this context - probably intrusive.

F55, finds number 32
2 sherds Fabric 13, 19g, 4 sherd Fabric 21a, 166g. Colchester type ware - this is very sandy

- Fabric ?21. Includes a slashed handle with flat-topped ?jug rim, 1 sherds Fabric 22, 4g, 5 sherd London-type ware Fabric 36, 58g. London-type ware: sherds from body of early rounded jug, late 12th century. Pale greenish glaze over partial white slip, with red slip bands running around girth and at right-angles down body.

F75, finds number 50
3 sherds Fabric 13, 51g. 12th century.
F75, finds number 51
3 sherds Fabric 13, 45g. 12th century.
F75 Sx 1, finds number 49
1 sherds Fabric 13, 4g. 12th century.
L22, finds number 74
1 sherd Fabric 13, 4g. 1 sherd Hedingham ware Fabric 22, 3g (13th or 14th century).
T15
F59, finds number 43
1 sherds Fabric 13, 19g. 12th century.
F60, finds number 42,
4 sherds Fabric 13, 144g. Includes a very heavy base of unknown use. Also Fabric 40 (PMRE) from this context. 1 sherd Fabric 21a Colchester-type ware, 58 g .

## T17

F76, finds number 76
1 sherd Fabric 20, 12g, 13th century.
T18
F41, finds number 11,1 sherd Fabric $13,9 \mathrm{~g}, 12$ th century.
L3, finds number 8
1 sherd Fabric 13, 10g (residual here).
L3, finds number 12
2 sherds Fabric 20, 19g. Two residual sherds, probably Fabric 20, but not a positive identification.

T20
L14, finds number 59
1 sherd Fabric 21a, 4g. Green glaze over white slip, early Fabric 21a. 13th-14th century?
L14, finds number 59
1 sherd Fabric 21a, 78g. Robust ?jug handle, unglazed. 15th-16th century.
T23
F67, finds number 52
1 sherd Fabric 13, 4g, 12th century.

T28
F62, finds number 34
1 sherd Fabric 13, 43g. Thick base sherd, 12th century.
T29
F25, finds number 3
1 sherd Fabric $20,12 \mathrm{~g}$, residual in this context.
T31
F28, finds number 6
3 sherds Fabric 13, 10g, residual here.

Post-medieval fabrics
T10
F104, finds number 78
2 small sherds Fabric 48d, 1g.
T14
F37, finds number 36
1 sherd internally glazed rim of bowl or dish, Fabric 40, 8g.
F40, finds number 45
1 sherd Fabric 51a (this might be intrusive in an earlier group of sherds), 10 g .
F90, finds number 73
1 sherd Fabric 51a, 24g.
T15
F60, finds number 42
1 sherd Fabric 40 unglazed. Possibly a Fabric 51b flowerpot (CAR 7, fig 149.181), 62g.
T18
F33, finds number 10
4 sherds Fabric 40, 29g, 2 sherds Fabric 42.
F33, finds number 14,
1 sherd Fabric 48d, 13g, 19th-20th century.
L3, finds number 8
2 sherds Fabric 40, large bowl base, internally glazed with pale green glaze over white slip.
Plus a large ?bowl/pancheon rim, 521g. Group date 18th-19th century?
T23
F67, finds number 52
1 sherd Fabric $40,56 \mathrm{~g}$. Jug handle in 'standard' fabric, mid 16th-18th century.
F68, finds number 55
1 sherd Fabric 40, 4g.
F69, finds number 56
3 sherds Fabric 40, 43g.
T25
L29, finds number 86
1 sherd Fabric 48d, 9g.
T25
L29, finds number 87
1 sherd PMRE Fabric 40bl, 2g, 17th century.
T28
F64, finds number 40
1 sherd Fabric 51a, 3g.
T29
F25, finds number 3
3 sherds Fabric 40, 68g. 2 sherds Fabric 45f, Westerwald ware, 5 g , 17 th or early 18 th century. 2 sherds Fabric 48d, 23g.

## T31

F28, finds number 6
3 sherds Fabric ? 40 (PMRE), 60g.

### 6.4 The glazed tile

by Nina Crummy
The fragment of tile from the Roman quarry-pit F28 must be intrusive as it dates to the medieval period. Also medieval is a fragment of green glazed floor tile from ditch F40. It cannot be closely dated but is likely to be 14th to 15th century.
(1) F28. Quarry-pit. Roman. Fragment of tile slipped and glazed on one surface to a grey-white colour. This may alternatively be a patch of vitrification, although the rest of the sherd shows no sign of having been exposed to high temperatures. The fabric contains very fine grit and occasional small pieces of flint grit. Both surfaces are reduced, and the core is also reduced. The thickness of the tile suggests that the sherd comes from a medieval peg-tile. Maximum surviving dimensions 26 by 23 mm , 14 mm thick.
(19) F40. Ditch. Medieval? Fragment of floor tile with the upper surface glazed green, by adding copper to a basic lead glaze, on the upper surface. There is a splash of plain lead glaze on the underside. The fabric is reduced and contains occasional flint grit. Maximum surviving dimensions 71 by $67 \mathrm{~mm}, 24 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick.

### 6.5 The clay tobacco pipe

by Nina Crummy
Only undatable plain stem fragments were recovered, although the variety of stembore diameters suggests that they were deposited over a wide period. The fragment from the medieval midden F109 suggests that that feature was still in use in the post-medieval period.
(3) F25. Quarry-pit. Post-medieval. Stem fragment. Length 21 mm, bore diameter 3.4 mm .
(109) F109. Midden. Medieval. Stem fragment. Length 49 mm , bore diameter 1.5 mm .
(39) L10. Demolition. Post-medieval to modern. Stem fragment. Length 45 mm , bore diameter 2.1 mm .
(88) L27. Building debris. Modern. Stem fragment. Length 29 mm , bore diameter 2.5 mm .
(86) L29. Accumulation. Medieval to post-medieval. Stem fragment. Length 58 mm , bore diameter 2 mm .
(87) L29. Accumulation. Medieval to post-medieval. Stem fragment. Length 27 mm , bore diameter 1.8 mm .
(91) L29. Accumulation. Medieval to post-medieval. Two stem fragments. a) Length 37 mm , bore diameter 2.5 mm . b) Length 48 mm , bore diameter 2.8 mm .

### 6.6 Other ceramic finds

## by H Brooks

This section consists of the catalogue and Table 4.

## Catalogue

F24
finds number 5
1 peg-tile fragment, 8 g . D
1 tegula flat fragment, 12 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 109g
1 imbrex fragment, 25 g

## F25

finds number 3
1 glazed floor tile fragment in post-medieval Fabric 40, 67g
4 peg-tile fragments, 216g. D (1 kept, 93)
6 tegula flat fragments, 588 g

## F28

finds number 1
2 peg-tile fragments, 56g. (including 1 glazed piece)
45 undated brick/tile scraps, 526 g . D
4 tegula flange fragments, 905 g
29 tegula flat fragments, $2,010 \mathrm{~g}$
7 Roman brick fragments, 1,190g
8 imbrex fragments, 360 g
finds number 6
21 tegula flat fragments, $1,551 \mathrm{~g}$
1 box tile fragment, 22 g
1 ?tessera, 25 g .
9 undated brick/tile scraps, 101g. D

## F33

finds number 10
2 peg-tile fragments, 88g. D (1 kept, circular peg hole, 48g)
1 Roman brick fragment, 1,280g
F36
finds number 17
28 peg-tile fragments, 1,295g. D (2 kept, 324, 1 with circular peg hole)
8 undated brick/tile scraps, 72g. D
1 post-medieval brick fragment, 102g
1 tegula flange fragment, 274 g
19 tegula flat fragments, 370 g
1 imbrex fragment, 30 g
F37
finds number 18
6 tegula flat fragments, 207g
1 box tile fragment, 114 g
finds number 36
1 peg-tile fragment, 20g
4 tegula flange fragments, 874 g
6 tegula flat fragments, 711 g
2 imbrex fragments, 276g
7 tesserae, 146g
F39
finds number 20
4 tegula flat fragments, 665g
finds number 47
1 tegula flat fragment, 33g

## F40

finds number 19
5 peg-tile fragments, 770g. D (3 have circular peg holes. 1 kept 123g)
1 Tudor brick fragment, 144g. D
1 post-medieval brick fragment, 356 g . D
1 tegula flange fragment, 556 g
finds number 45
1 peg-tile fragment, 74 g (circular peg hole)
finds number 46
2 tegula flat fragments, 32g

## F41

finds number 11
1 tegula flat fragment, 28 g
F42
finds number 14

4 tegula flat fragments, 379 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 297g
F43
finds number 15
15 peg-tile fragments, 1,731g. D (1 kept, 240g, circular peg hole)
2 undated brick/tile scraps, 21g. D
2 tegula flat fragments, 226 g
2 Roman brick fragments, 458 g
finds number 22
3 tegula flat fragments, 304 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 158 g
F45
finds number 23
1 peg-tile fragment, 71 g
2 tegula flat fragments, 545 g
1 imbrex fragment, 155 g
F48
finds number 27
1 imbrex fragment, 71 g
2 box tile fragments, 182 g

## F49

finds number 28
2 peg-tile fragments, 135g.
F50
finds number 29
1 peg-tile fragments, 16 g
2 tegula flat fragments, 244 g

## F52

finds number 30
3 peg-tile fragments, 127g.
F54
finds number 31
1 Tudor brick fragment, 110 g
finds number 48
1 tegula flat fragment, 100 g

## F55

finds number 32
2 tegula flat fragments, 179 g
1 box tile fragment, 10 g
F56
finds number 44
2 peg-tile fragments, 34 g
2 tegula flat fragments, 30 g

## F59

finds number 43
1 tegula flange fragment, 228 g
3 tegula flat fragments, 802g
2 Roman brick fragments, 550 g
3 box tile fragments, 321g

## F60

finds number 42
3 tegula flange fragments, $1,097 \mathrm{~g}$
1 tegula flat fragment, 631g
3 Roman brick fragments, 1,990g

2 imbrex fragments, 184g
6 box tile fragments, $1,121 \mathrm{~g}$
F62
finds number 33
3 tegula flat fragments, 222g
finds number 34
1 peg-tile fragment, 18 g
1 tegula flange fragment, 450 g
4 tegula flat fragments, 1,027g
finds number 35
3 tegula flat fragments, 244 g
2 Roman brick fragments, 46 g

## F64

finds number 40
1 tegula flat fragment, 26 g

## F66

finds number 4
1 tegula flange fragment, 283g
8 tegula flat fragments, 995 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 340 g
finds number 54
1 tegula flat fragment, 30g
2 Roman brick fragments, 234 g
F67
finds number 52
1 peg-tile fragment, 50g. D
1 tegula flat fragment, 141 g
1 imbrex fragment, 54 g
2 tesserae, 34 g
F68
finds number 55
1 tegula flange fragment, 121 g

## F69

finds number 56
1 peg-tile fragment, 205g
F75
finds number 49
3 tegula flat fragments, 85 g
1 peg-tile fragment, 15 g
finds number 50
1 post-medieval brick fragment, 37 g
2 tegula flat fragments, 175 g
finds number 51
2 tegula flange fragments, 405 g
2 tegula flat fragments, 464 g
2 imbrex fragments, 297g

## F76

finds number 76
1 chimney pot fragment in medieval Fabric 13 or 20. Diameter approx $10-12 \mathrm{~cm}$, in line with Mile End products (Drury \& Petchey 1975, figs 61-64), and CAR 7, fig 66.60, 66.70).
4 tegula flange fragments, 520 g
13 tegula flat fragments, 739 g
3 Roman brick fragments, 427 g
2 imbrex fragments, 130 g
1 box tile fragment, 203g

## F79

finds number 57
1 peg-tile fragment, 98 g (circular peg hole)
2 post-medieval brick fragments, 439g (frogged brick - post-1850). 1 D (190g)
1 tegula flat fragment, 281g
1 Roman brick fragment, 109g
1 imbrex fragment, 151 g

## F80

finds number 58
1 tegula flat fragment, 65g

## F81

finds number 64
14 peg-tile fragments, 223g. D (1 kept, circular peg hole, 47g)
2 undated brick/tile scraps, 37g. D
2 tegula flat fragments, 107 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 110 g
F82
finds number 65
2 imbrex fragments, 115g
F83
finds number 66
1 peg-tile fragment, 27 g
1 tegula flat fragment, 69g

## F84

finds number 96
1 tegula flat fragment, 75 g
?Roman brick fragments, $1,238 \mathrm{~g}$
3 imbrex fragments, 229g
F88
finds number 68
4 ? tegula flat fragments, 215 g
1 box tile fragment, 44 g
1 undated brick/tile scrap, 8g. D
finds number 82
1 tegula flange fragment, 206g
1 tegula flat fragment, 113 g
F90
finds number 73
6 peg-tile fragments, 162g. D
2 undated brick/tile scrap, 30g. D
2 tegula flat fragments, 36 g
1 box tile fragment, 130 g

## F91

finds number 79
1 tegula flange fragment, 26 g
1 tegula flat fragment, 108g

## F92

## finds number 70

1 tegula flat fragment, 24 g
1 imbrex fragment, 107 g

## F94

finds number 71
1 tegula flange fragment, 411 g
3 tegula flat fragments, 1,744g
1 Roman brick fragment, 1,095g
finds number 72
9 tegula flange fragments, $2,667 \mathrm{~g}$
49 tegula flat fragments, $7,467 \mathrm{~g}$
5 Roman brick fragments, 1,167g
3 imbrex fragments, 204g
2 box tile fragments, 261 g
1 undated brick/tile scrap, 34g. D

## F100

finds number 75
1 tegula flange fragment, 244 g
1 tegula flat fragment, 45 g
F101
finds number 77
1 peg-tile fragment, 10 g
3 tegula flat fragments, 93g
F104
finds number 78
1 peg-tile fragment, 35 g

## F105

finds number 80
1 tegula flange fragment, 255 g
6 tegula flat fragments, 293 g
F107
finds number 81
2 tegula flat fragments, 109 g
F111
finds number 105
1 peg-tile fragment, 64g. D (10mm thick)

## F112

finds number 110
4 tegula flat fragments, 323 g
1 imbrex fragment, 55 g

## F116

finds number 2
1 peg-tile fragment, $99 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick, circular peg hole 13 mm diameter. D
3 undated brick/tile scraps, 54g. D
1 tegula flange fragment, 104 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 51g
finds number 93
3 peg-tile fragments, 165g. D (1 kept, with circular peg hole 14 mm diameter)
1 tegula flange fragment, 283g
1 tegula flat fragment, 33g

## F118

finds number 109
1 peg-tile fragments, 18g
1 post-medieval brick fragment, 49 g
1 glazed ridge tile? in post-medieval Fabric 40, 129g
1 tessera, 18g
1 ?tessera, 18 g
F119
finds number 106
1 peg-tile fragment, $25 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick. Kept for sample
F123
finds number 89
3 Roman brick fragments, 1,203g

## F124

finds number 98
8 peg-tile fragments, 561g. D (2, kept for sample, 326g)
3 undated brick/tile scraps, 18g. D
3 tegula flat fragments, 326 g
3 Roman brick fragments, 1,192g
2 imbrex fragments, 306g

## F126

finds number 101
1 peg-tile fragment, 20 g
1 Roman brick fragment, 44g
finds number 102
1 peg-tile fragments, 6 g
1 tegula flat fragments, 214 g
finds number 103
8 peg-tile fragments, 165 g . D ( 2 kept, 65 g )
1 tegula flat fragment, 36 g

## L10

Finds number 39
4 peg-tile fragments, 194g. D (1 kept with circular peg hole, 57 g )
L13
finds number 63
1 peg-tile fragments, 26g. D
1 post-medieval brick fragment, 150g. D
10 tegula flat fragments, 848g
1 imbrex fragment, 65 g
L14
finds number 59
1 peg-tile fragment, 46 g

## L22

finds number 74
1 tegula flat fragment, 99 g
1 undated brick/tile scrap, 10 g . D

## L25

finds number 91
33 peg-tile fragments, 1,383g. D (3 kept, circular peg holes, 221g)
11 tegula flat fragments, 511 g
1 imbrex fragment, 74 g
L27
Finds number 88
2 peg-tile fragments, 96 g . D, with circular peg hole

## L29

finds number 86
15 peg-tile fragments, 1,062g. D (1 kept, 315g, 2 circular peg holes)
1 tegula flange fragment, 828g
1 Roman brick fragment, 101g
finds number 87
1 peg-tile fragments, 148g. D (re-used - mortared)
1 undated brick/tile scrap, 8 g

## L30

finds number 8
peg-tile fragments, 376 g . D (1 kept, 115 g square peg hole)
3 tegula flat fragments, 152 g
1 box tile fragment, 484g

Table 4: summary of brick and tile types by trench (weights in g).

| Trench | Tegula |  | Imbrex |  | Roman brick |  | Box tile |  | Tesserae |  | Peg-tile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt | Qty | Wt |
| T9 | 7 | 475 | 1 | 55 | 1 | 44 | 1 | 484 | 2 | 36 | 12 | 579 |  |  |
| T10 | 23 | 1,286 | 1 | 74 | 6 | 2,262 |  |  |  |  | 35 | 1,428 |  |  |
| T11 | 9 | 250 |  |  | 5 | 1,348 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 951 | 1 | 49 |
| T12 | 60 | 12,313 | 4 | 311 | 5 | 603 | 2 | 261 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T13 | 14 | 1,183 | 5 | 344 | 5 | 2,540 | 1 | 44 |  |  | 15 | 250 |  |  |
| T14 | 61 | 5,303 |  |  | 3 | 1,203 | 3 | 254 | 7 | 146 | 44 | 2,370 |  |  |
| T15 | 8 | 2,758 | 2 | 184 | 3 | 427 | 9 | 1,442 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T16 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 2,193 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 749 |
| T17 | 27 | 2,107 | 3 | 195 | 1 | 109 | 1 | 203 |  |  | 1 | 26 |  |  |
| T18 | 12 | 1,181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 2,097 |  |  |
| T20 | 2 | 346 | 1 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 98 | 1 | 150 |
| T22 | 2 | 545 | 2 | 226 | 5 | 1,344 | 2 | 182 |  |  | 5 | 265 |  |  |
| T23 | 2 | 262 | 1 | 54 | 3 | 574 |  |  | 2 | 34 | 3 | 301 | 2 | 439 |
| T25 | 7 | 1,574 | 2 | 306 | 2 | 460 |  |  |  |  | 28 | 2,035 |  |  |
| T27 | 10 | 1,308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T28 | 11 | 1,943 |  |  | 8 | 1,299 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 |  |  |
| T29 | 7 | 614 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 216 |  |  |
| T31 | 55 | 4,478 | 9 | 385 |  |  | 1 | 22 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 64 |  |  |

### 6.7 The faunal remains analysis report

by Julie Curl (NAU Archaeology)
Introduction
A total of 9.793 kg of bone, consisting of 726 pieces, was produced from 32 features and four layers. The bulk of the assemblage was derived from cattle, pigs and sheep. Wild species are represented by deer, hare, goose, mallard and curlew, suggesting hunting to supplement the diet. A single human bone was also recorded.

## Methodology

All of the bone was examined primarily to determine range of species and elements present and the amount of material that could produce measurable, ageable bone; bone was scanned to determine if evidence of bone-, horn- or antler-working was present in the assemblage. Evidence of butchering and any indications of skinning, horn-working and other modifications was recorded. When possible, a record was made of ages and any other relevant information, such as pathologies. Counts and weights were taken and recorded for each context and counts taken for the number of bones for each species identified. Due to the small size of the assemblage, measurements were not taken as there would have been too little data for any meaningful interpretation. All information was input directly into an Excel database for analysis. The analysis was carried out following a modified version of guidelines by English Heritage (Davis 1992). A catalogue of the assemblage is included as a table with this report.

## The assemblage

A total of 9.793 kg of bone, consisting of 726 pieces, was produced from 32 features and four layers. Material was derived from a variety of contexts including ditch, quarry-pit and drain fills. The largest quantity, $35.6 \%$, was produced from medieval/post-medieval middens in T9 and T11. Just under $35 \%$ was yielded from pit fills; the remaining $29.6 \%$ was recovered from the other fills, with the smallest amount, 0.024 kg , being retrieved from a drain fill (see Table 5).

Table 5: weights of faunal remains recovered from each context type.

| Context | Total weight (kg) <br> for each fill type |
| :--- | ---: |
| Accumulation layer | 0.273 |
| Ditch | 0.753 |
| Drain | 0.024 |
| Dump | 0.009 |
| Lime-kiln/pit | 0.255 |
| Middens | 3.452 |
| Midden pit | 0.485 |
| Post-hole/pit | 0.003 |
| Pit | 3.417 |
| ?Pit/ditch | 0.049 |
| Quarry-pit | 0.444 |
| Robber trench | 0.105 |
| Service run | 0.049 |
| Surface | 0.256 |
| Topsoil | 0.031 |
| Wall foundation | 0.188 |
| Total assemblage weight | $\mathbf{9 . 7 9 3}$ |

The assemblage is in a reasonable condition, although fragmentary; the relatively high fragmentation resulted in just $43.7 \%$ of the bone being identifiable to species. The remains in the robber trench F62 were particularly worn and showed eroded surfaces that may have been subject to acidic soil conditions or were simply residual finds. Canid gnawing was observed on the articular end of a cattle scapula in F126, T11 (103), apart from this bone, canid activity in this assemblage was low.

## Species, butchering and uses

Of the 726 elements, 317 were identifiable to a species (43.7\%), while the remaining 409 pieces ( $56.3 \%$ ) were too fragmentary to identify further than from 'medium-sized mammal' (pig, small deer or sheep) or 'large mammal' (cattle, large deer or equid).

Both in terms of the number of elements identified to a species and the MNI (minimum number of individuals), the pig is the most common species in this assemblage, representing $25 \%$ of the overall assemblage and $57 \%$ of the identifiable remains. Largely, this is due to a relatively large collection of pig remains recovered from the medieval/post-medieval midden in T11.

Evidence of butchering was frequent throughout the assemblage, with chops and cuts to dismember the animals, and fine cuts around foot bones and jaws from skinning. The medieval pit fills in T12, features F92 (70), F94 (72) and F94 (94) produced numerous sections of ribs (probably cattle) that had been chopped and cut into small sections with an average length of $5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$; these rib sections are probably the remains of sections of rib used for soups and broths, with the ribs chopped into sections to release the nutritious marrow. It is interesting that the pigs (a sub-adult and neonatals) from F126 were not butchered and these unbutchered remains may suggest diseased pigs.

Pig
The majority of a sub-adult pig skeleton was recovered from F126, T11 (101); comprising a skull with third molars in wear, mandibles, unfused femurs, humerus, pelvis, radius, ulna, vertebrae and ribs. This individual died at around 24 months of age. This pig did show enamel hypoplasia, suggesting an animal that had a difficult start in life and had undergone some form of stress during development (Teegen 2002). In addition to this young adult pig, there are nine neonatal bones, comprising humeri, three femurs, tibia, ulna and a scapula, representing at least two individual
neonatal piglets. There is no sign of any butchering on any of the bones. It is possible that the neonatals belonged to the young pig and that she died before or during farrowing.

More neonate pig bones were produced from the medieval/post-medieval accumulation layer L31; a total of 18 neonatal bones were found in this layer that gave a MNI of 2. The presence and number of neonatals at this site would suggest that pigs were bred here during the medieval to post-medieval period.

A pig calcaeneus in F94, T12 (72) showed excessive butchering, with deep knife cuts all around the shaft of the bone; the excessive butchering would suggest a novice.

## Cattle

Cattle were the second most frequently identified species, representing nearly 15\% of the overall assemblage, again with most in the medieval/post-medieval periods. The elements present and evidence of butchering observed indicate food waste; horn-cores were noted in F126 and F42, but these show no signs of being used for horn-working. A cattle pelvis in F90, T14 (73) exhibited a pathological condition. The acetabular notch had been bridge by an additional growth of bone, this ossification may have occurred following an injury to the muscle (Manchester \& Roberts 1997), possibly either from birthing difficulties or strain from use as a draught animal.

## Sheep/goat

Sheep/goat were poorly represented, accounting for just over $2 \%$ of the overall assemblage and roughly evenly distributed throughout periods. A sheep/goat talus in F28, T31, (1) showed cut marks from skinning. Excessive butchering was seen with several deep knife cuts on a lamb femur from the medieval/post-medieval ditch fill F36, T14 (17), suggesting a less experienced butcher.

## Wild mammals

Red deer was recorded from the medieval pit fill F88, T13 (68), this calcaeneus showed knife cuts and had been chopped, demonstrating that the deer had at least been skinned, if not eaten. Two bones from an adult hare were found in the postmedieval pit fill F68 (55), with evidence of butchering observed on the tibia, attesting to this animal being used for food.

## Bird bones

Only four fills produced remains of bird and these were only single elements in each context. A goose bone came from the medieval quarry-pit F55, T14 (32) that may have belonged to a butchered Greylag or domestic bird. A smaller, ?Brent goose radius was recovered from the medieval/post-medieval accumulation L31, T19 (90); the radius had been cut, attesting to the butchering of this wild species of goose. A cut tarsometatarsus from a Mallard was found in the modern accumulation L29, T25
(91). A humerus from a Curlew was produced from the Roman quarry-pit F28, T31
(1); a deep cut mark was noted on this bone, clearly showing the butchering of this bird. The Curlew is a large wader that frequents estuaries, coastal areas, moors and farmland, and, weighing up to 1 kg , it would have been a worthwhile catch for meat.

## Human skeletal remains

One human bone, a humerus, was recorded in F66, T15 (42); this bone is from an adult, but it is very worn and eroded compared to the animal bone, suggesting that it was redeposited.

## Conclusions and comparisons with other assemblages

The bulk of the faunal assemblage is derived from butchering and food waste, with some preparation butchering, such as skinning, being evident, which may have all been done at one location; overall suggesting domestic activity. It would appear that the bulk of the meat in the diet at this site in both the Roman and medieval/postmedieval periods came from cattle and pigs, while sheep may have been of lesser importance. The butchered wild species clearly show that some hunting took place,
although it did not contribute a great deal to the diet at this site. The only birds present are wetland species, indicating hunting at nearby wetland areas.

Young adult pigs, recovered with neonatals and no signs of butchering were found at Fleggburgh, Norfolk (Curl 2007). It is possible that the young mothers died prior to birth due to disease or even during birth as a result of complications. Death of the mother very soon after birth is possible and the newborn piglets may have died from starvation soon after. The lack of butchering is interesting, if the pig died during birthing and with no apparent signs of disease, one would assume that the meat would not be wasted, although caution may have been shown, especially in times when there was a plentiful supply of food. It is most likely, therefore, that the pig may have shown some external signs of an infection or ill-health; pigs, as with cattle and sheep, are susceptible to many diseases. The pig buried in this assemblage did show enamel hypoplasia, suggesting an animal that had at least suffered a difficult start in life.

### 6.8 The decorative stone

by Will Clarke
T13, finds no 83 (U/S)
Fragment of red granite
Texture: medium grained
Composition: predominantly pink-red feldspar, with glassy quartz and clusters of white feldspar, and black biotite mica
Shaping: The fragmentary remains of this piece display no original edges, although one polished surface with saw-marks is partially preserved Maximum dimensions 46 by $28 \mathrm{~mm}, 21 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick
Provenance: unknown
Date: likely to be post-medieval.

## Discussion

Finds of ornamental stone consisting of red granite are of particular interest when recovered from the Garrison close to the in situ remains of the Roman circus, because of their potential to derive from this structure. One of the most distinctive elements of circus architecture was an obelisk carved out of red granite erected on the spina of a circus (Humphrey 1986). The stone of choice for circus obelisks in Rome and in the Provinces was a red Egyptian granite, known in antiquity as lapis pyrrhopoecilos (meaning red spotted stone) or syenites (Pliny Natural History, 36,13 (63)). Finds of Aswan granite are, to my knowledge, unprecedented from Roman Britain to date.

Provisional non-destructive analysis of the red granite fragment from T13, recovered unstratified 95 m north of the circus, suggests that the characteristics displayed by its colour and composition are not dissimilar to red granite from Aswan, but its texture differs. The sample, for example, displays medium grain size, while the texture of Aswan granite tends to be either very fine or more characteristically coarse (Gnoli 1988, 145-7; Borghini 1989, 225-6). In terms of its working, the fragmented state of the sample provides one surface that has been polished, and this surface displays a series of fine parallel saw-marks. This type of evidence is not untypical on Roman hard stones such as porphyry and granite despite being subsequently polished. Saw-marks characteristic of Roman worked stone, however, tend to be linear, while those on the sample exhibit curvature. This type of evidence would normally place the working of this stone in a much later period. That said, if the sample was indeed found to be cut from Aswan granite, these sawmarks would, rather than indicating a later date for its working, have the potential also to support an Egyptian provenance. This is because obelisks transported from Roman Egypt to be erected on circus monuments in Rome and around the empire were not cut from the quarries of Aswan granite during the Roman period, but taken as spolia from earlier Pharaonic monuments. Worked granite objects dating to the earlier Dynastic period are known to exhibit curved saw-marks as, for example, on the sarcophagus of Khufu and Khafre carved out of Aswan granite and dating to the 4th Dynasty (Stocks
2003). Hence, evidence for curved saw-marks on this sample did not unequivocally rule out a context of Roman date if this red granite has an Aswan provenance. To investigate this further and determine the provenance of the sample from T13 based on petrological study, a series of close-up digital images of its texture was sent to the Italian laboratories of IUAV (Istituto Universitario di Architettura) in Venice. The Director of Petrology at IUAV, Professor Lorenzo Lazzarini, kindly examined the images, and was able to establish that the petrological make-up of the red granite variety from the sample did not match red granite from Aswan or any other granite sources in Egypt. Furthermore, the sample could not be matched by IUAV to other sources of granite used in antiquity around the Mediterranean. Without this granite sample having an Aswan provenance, saw-marks exhibiting curvature on its polished surface must then point to a much later date. This most likely post-dates the medieval period, despite being recovered close to the remains of St John's Abbey, and probably points to a post-medieval date. Sources of granite in the post-medieval period are numerous and potentially world-wide.

### 6.9 The other stone

by Dr Keith Oak (Havering Sixth Form College)

## Discussion

Specimens are listed in Table 6 below. Most of the stone appears to be part of the greensand assemblage of rocks from Kent. In Kent, these range from shelly limestones to sandy limestones to calcareous sandstones and glauconitic sandstones. Sometimes these can be nodular and can be called Kentish Rag. The specimens described here are mainly calcareous sandstones with some glauconite (a conspicuous green mineral), with some being sandy limestones. These would be used as building material for structural and/or decorative purposes. They have been imported to Colchester during the Roman and post-Roman periods. These specimens could be re-used Roman material, or have been imported at the time of the building of the abbey.

There are a few specimens of chalk or muddy chalk (marl), which is found at depth around Colchester. So these specimens could be local, but are more likely to come from south Essex, Kent, or even Norfolk. If used, then it would be for lime or cement and certainly not as a structural rock.

There are also some micaceous sandstones which are more unusual and are probably not from the same source as the Kent greensand specimens. The mica makes these rocks 'flaggy', so they could have been used as flagstones or for structural/decorative purposes. The fine grain allows for detailed carving.

Table 6: list of other stone.

| Finds, context, and trench number | Dimensions | Description | Stone name | Possible provenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: F28, T31 <br> (specimen 1/2 from this context) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 50 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{x} \\ & 25 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | medium sand $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : greyish orange 10YR 6/6: fossil shell fragments: calcareous | Medium sandstone | Possibly Kent |
| 1: F28, T31 <br> (specimen 2/2 from this context): | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 42 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{x} \\ & 30 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | fine grained: calcareous: Very pale orange 10YR 8/2 | Marl (muddy chalk) | South Essex or Kent. Not used for building, but could be used for cement |
| 3: F25, T30 | $25 \mathrm{~mm} x$ $60 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 30 mm | fine sand-187 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ : very pale orange 10YR8/2: calcareous | Fine sandy limestone | Possibly Kent |


| 14: F42, T18 | 96 mm x $38 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 35 mm | medium/coarse sand $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : Mottled pale yellowish grey 5YR 8/1: calcareous Some glauconite | Medium calcareous sandstone (greensand) | Kent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34: F62, T28 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 115 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 80 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 4 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | medium/coarse sand $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : Pale yellowish brown 10YR 6/2: Contains some glauconite | Coarse glauconitic sandstone (greensand?) | Kent. Possibly been dressed? |
| 41: F66,T26 (specimen $1 / 2$ from this context) | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 92 \mathrm{~mm} x \\ & 11 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | medium sand 375 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ : Yellowish Grey 5Y8/1: Fossil bivalves, possibly Trigonia: vague layering: some glauconite: calcareous | Calcareous glauconitic sandstone (greensand?) | Kent |
| 41: F66,T26 (specimen 2/2 from this context) | 58 mm x $40 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 30 mm | medium sand 375 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ : moderate yellowish brown 10YR 5/4: calcareous | Calcareous sandstone | Possibly Kent |
| 49: F75, T14 | 83mm 60 mm 22 mm | fine sand $187 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Pale Yellowish Brown 10YR 6/2, one area of Moderate Orange Pink 5YR 8/4 Faint Laminations micaceous Slightly calcareous | Fine micaceous sandstone | Not local. Unsure but probably not the same as all the other Kent rock (could be part of a flagstone) |
| 54: F66, T26 | $68 \mathrm{~mm} x$ $40 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 18 mm | coarse sand $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : Yellowish grey (5Y 8/1) with patches of greyish orange (10YR 7/4): <br> Slightly calcareous | Coarse calcareous sandstone | Kent? |
| 72: F94, T12 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \mathrm{~cm} x \\ & 4.3 \mathrm{~cm} x \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | medium sand: <br> Light Olive Grey SY 6/1: Slight layering: calcareous | Medium calcareous sandstone | Kent? |
| 80: F105, T10 | 98mm x $42 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 14 mm | fine sand, $187 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ : Yellowish Dark Orange: Finely laminated: Micaceous | Fine micaceous sandstone | Not local; unsure but probably not the same as all the other Kentish rock (could be part of a flagstone) |
| 91: (L29, T25 (specimen 1/2 from this context) | $42 \mathrm{~mm} x$ $28 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 18 mm | very fine chalk calcareous Very pale orange 10YR 8/2 | Chalk | South Essex or Kent; could be used for cement |
| 91: L29, T25 <br> (specimen 2/2 from this context) | $31 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 28mm x 22 mm | fine sand with small pebbles 8 mm Calcareous Shell fragments Dark yellowish orange 10YR 6/6 | Looks like mortar | Mortar? inclusions could be local |
| 109: T9, F118 | 80 mm x $75 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 40 mm | fine sand $187 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Greyish orange 10YR 7/4 Laminated micaceous | Fine micaceous sandstone |  |

### 6.10 The flints

by Hazel Martingell

## Discussion

A total of three flints was studied. One piece (F28) was a burnt natural flint. From context L10 in T22, two flints were recovered; one was a flake-blade in a dark grey flint, not typical of any period. The other flake, with retouch and a polished edge, is quite unusual. It is made on light grey flint, and the deep positive and negative bulbs suggest an Iron Age date.

## Catalogue

T31, F28 1 burnt natural piece
T22, L10 1 flake-blade, secondary
1 flake, tertiary with one polished edge and an area of retouch on distal edge; deep positive and negative bulbs; thin section.

### 6.11 The post-Roman glass

by H Brooks
I am grateful to David Andrews of the Essex County Council Historic Environment Management team for advice on the post-Roman window glass.

## T14

F40, finds number 19
Nine sherds of thick ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mm}-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and now clouded window glass (114.7g). Given the proximity of the abbey church, which will probably have been within 50 m of this trench, there can be no doubt that this glass is from the abbey windows. Four pieces have grozed edges. Three of those are fragments of rectangular pieces $35 \mathrm{~mm}-45 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide (ie approximating to 1.25 to 1.5 inches) and wide, and broken off at 60 mm long ( 2.5 inches). All pieces are apparently colourless, apart from one piece with a curved, grozed edge which is coloured blue. One piece has surface painting, in the form of thin lines which probably represent tendrils. Date, 14th-15th century.

T23
F67, finds number 52
Two pieces of 'old' window glass (3.1g). Approximately 1.5 mm thick. Probably clear glass, but now opaque, and the original colour is difficult to make out. This is probably from a postmedieval structure on this site. The Lucas mansion?

F68, finds number 55
Three pieces. Two are good-quality green glass, slightly onion-weathering (2.6g). Probably post-medieval and contemporary with this feature. One piece is thin glass from a small vessel $(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$. This is probably Roman.

## T29

F62, finds number 34
Good-quality clear glass sherd with both sides slightly frosted (4.2g). This is either modern, or more likely Roman and residual in this context.

T30
F25, finds number 3
One Victorian or later brown glass ?beer-bottle sherd ( 3.6 g ). This is probably contemporary with the date of this feature (post-medieval quarry-pit).

One delicate pale blue or lilac coloured sherd ( 0.1 g ). Almost certainly Roman, and residual here. Must be derived from Roman levels in this area, and from the fact that Roman burials were found in T31 and T33 (within a few metres of this spot), it seems very likely that this derives from a disturbed Roman burial.

### 6.12 Charred plant macrofossils and other plant remains by Val Fryer <br> Introduction and method statement

An evaluation revealed a number of features of mostly post-Roman date, including a lime-kiln/pit (F76) and a stone-lined drain (F117). Samples for the evaluation of the preservation and content of the plant macrofossil assemblages were taken from both of the above features (samples 1 and 2 respectively).

The samples were processed by manual water flotation/washover and the flots were collected in a 500 -micron mesh sieve. The dried flots were scanned under a binocular microscope at magnifications up to $\times 16$ and the plant macrofossils and other remains noted are listed on Table 7. All plant remains were charred. Modern contaminants, including fibrous roots, seeds, moss fronds and leaf fragments, were present in both assemblages.

## Results

Both assemblages were extremely small ( $<0.1$ litres in volume) and largely composed of charcoal/charred wood fragments. Other plant macrofossils were extremely scarce, comprising a small number of root/stem fragments within sample 1 and a single indeterminate cereal grain from sample 2. The latter sample also contained a moderate to high density of black porous and tarry residues, all of which had the appearance of industrial waste, possibly derived from the combustion of coal at a very high temperature. Other remains occurred very infrequently, although fragments of bone, fish bone and marine mollusc shell were recorded. The white mineral concretions within sample 1 were probable residues from the lime-kiln/pit.

## Conclusions and recommendations for further work

In summary, neither assemblage contains sufficient material to enable the conclusive interpretation of the features from which the samples were taken. The condition of some remains appears to be indicative of high temperature combustion, and while this may be understandable for the sample from the lime-kiln/pit, the origin of the intensely burnt material within the ditch fill is less clear. However, both assemblages indicate that plant macrofossils do survive within the archaeological deposits at Area B1b and it is, therefore, recommended that additional samples should be taken from all well-sealed and dated deposits which are encountered during any further excavations in this area. No further analysis of the current assemblages is required.

Table 7: charred plant macrofossils and other plant remains.

| Sample No. | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Finds No. | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
| Context No. | F76 | F117 |
| Context type | Lime kiln | Drain |
| Plant macrofossils |  |  |
| Cereal indet. (grain) | xx | xx |
| Charcoal <2mm | x | xx |
| Charcoal >2mm | x |  |
| Charred root/stem |  |  |
| Other materials | x | xxx |
| Black porous 'cokey' material |  | xxx |
| Black tarry material | x | x |
| Bone | x | x |
| Fish bone | xx | xx |
| Marine mollusc shell | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Small coal frags. | $<\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| Small mammal/amphibian bone | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| White mineral concretion |  |  |
| Sample volume (litres) |  |  |
| Volume of flot (litres) |  |  |
| \% flot sorted |  |  |

## Key to table

$x=1-10$ specimens
$x x=10-50$ specimens
$x x x=50-100$ specimens

## 7 Discussion (Fig 21) <br> Pre-Roman

Three prehistoric flints were recovered from Area B1b. There were no prehistoric sherds. This would indicate low levels of pre-Roman activity on this site.

## Roman

Area B1b is now known to be the extraordinary meeting-point of three significant extra-mural activities from approximately 30 m to the south of the Roman town wall. These comprise a settlement zone in the northern area, the eastern end of the recently-discovered public entertainment building (Roman circus) in the southwestern area, and part of the southern cemeteries within the south-east corner of Area B1b.

## Roman extra-mural settlement

Roman pottery ( 22 kg ) and brick or tile ( 57 kg ) were very common residual finds from Area B1b. This clearly indicates a high level of Roman activity here. The pottery and brick/tile is presumably derived from domestic activity and Roman buildings in this area. Unfortunately, it is not possible to be more specific because no Roman structures (other than the circus; see below) were apparent among the excavated remains. The domestic site appears to be focussed on the group of trenches to the north of the Roman circus with specifically Roman pits within T10, T12 and T13. Further domestic pits were identified and sampled during the 2002 evaluation within trench B2 and trench B6. A possible Roman ditch from T17 can be added to the two Roman ditches within trench B2 of the 2002 evaluation (in Area B1a). Large quantities of Roman pottery were also recovered from pits and post-holes in the adjacent 2007 evaluation site of Area B1a where painted wall-plaster suggested a nearby building of some grandeur. Quarry-pit or large pit F94 in T12 produced an average sherd weight of 28.1 g ( 259 sherds weighing 7 kg ) while quarry-pit F28 of T31 in the south-east part of Area B1b produced an average sherd weight of 12.15 g (151 sherds). This tends to suggest that the settlement was indeed focussed north of the Roman circus in the area around T12 with that in the area around T31 being peripheral (as can be expected in an area associated with burials at the east end of the circus). Although no buildings of Roman date were identified, the large quantity of brick and tile recovered, ie 37 pieces of imbrex and 315 tegula fragments from roofing, 19 flue tile fragments from hypocausts, 49 brick fragments, and twelve tesserae from floors, confirm that town-type or 'villa' buildings were located in this area. The suggested area of this 'settlement zone' is shown on Figure 21.

## Roman burials and quarries

Roman cemetery areas can be more closely identified. Two inhumation burials were excavated in T33 and one cremation burial in T31 deep within a then partially infilled quarry-pit, at the south end of Area B1b and south of the later abbey precinct wall. These were probably part of the Roman cemetery excavated in GAL Area C2 (approx 66 burials) in 2004 (150m WSW of this site; CAT Report 361) and at Napier Road in 2006 (seven burials; CAT report forthcoming).

Apart from those burials, three more at least can be inferred from finds probably derived from disturbed Roman burials, ie a copper-alloy armlet in T10, a pottery lamp in T23, and a glass fragment in T30. The potential burials in T30 and perhaps T23 can be included as part of the same burial area just mentioned, with the additional information that the possible T23 burial must have been close to the north cavea wall of the circus. The outlier is the find in T10 on the north edge of Area B1b which may simply reflect a casual loss.

## The Roman circus

The predicted position of the east end of the Roman circus coincided with the southern edge of Area B1b, and five trenches were specifically targeted on the circus structure. Modern and post-medieval intrusions in T23 had cut away the cavea wall/foundations. T26 had two targets - the circus spina, and the St John's Abbey precinct wall. The in situ foundations of the precinct wall were located, rather than the circus spina, although an undated ditch-like feature on the alignment of the
spina may well have been a drainage-related circus feature. T27 was positioned in the circus racetrack area. Greensand blocks were found lying within a wide feature. These must be the robbed or collapsed remains of the eastern end of the spina of the circus, which lay only 2 m north-west of the north end of T27. As indicated above, the hollow was quire possibly caused by chariot erosion as they turned sharply around the eastern turning-posts.

T28 intercepted the curved east end of the circus, and revealed the robber trench of the outer cavea wall/foundation. This contained peg-tile, which must be intrusive here, as this robbing is securely dated to the 12th or 13th century elsewhere.

T28 also exposed a well-laid gravel surface on the outer side, in this case at the north-east end of the circus. This gravel surface matches similar gravel surfaces found on the outer side of the circus on GAL Areas C1 and C2 (CAT Report 361). Cleaning above the gravel surface produced pottery of the late 2nd to 3rd century, but the initial use of the surface will pre-date these finds.

A find of great interest was a small piece of red granite which was found residually in T13, in St John's Abbey grounds. In view of the likelihood that an obelisk stood on the central point of the circus spina, there was the potential that this was a fragment of such an obelisk, and one which was likely to have been imported from Egypt. Disappointingly, it seems that this must be a later (?postmedieval) piece, and, given its location close to the presumed site of the abbey church, it is presumably a fragment from an internal architectural detail of the abbey church, perhaps a tomb

## Anglo-Saxon

In view of the fact that Anglo-Saxon burials are recorded as having been found on the east side of Mersea Road (CAR 1, fig 2), it is surprising that no Anglo-Saxon material was recovered from this evaluation.

## Medieval

The southern face of the abbey precinct wall foundation was exposed in T26. It was solidly built with Roman brick and tile, septaria chunks and greensand fragments bonded in a yellow sandy lime mortar.

## Features contemporary with the abbey

Area B1b coincides with the southern and western part of St John's Abbey precinct, but not really with the presumed site of the abbey church, which should lie further east in GAL Area B2. Therefore the expectation was that the remains of buildings ancillary to the abbey might be found. Despite this, only one structure was found which could definitely be associated with the medieval abbey. This was the rightangle of wall with a rough stone surface on its west side and a clay floor on its east side. This looked like the south-west corner of a building with an internal clay floor, on the same alignment as the western precinct wall. Given the position of this structure, it must have been part of the monastic buildings.

The backfilling of the lime-kiln/pit in T17c is dated to the 13th century. It may be inferred, therefore, that its period of use was contemporary with the rebuilding of the abbey after the major fire of AD 1133, and that it was used to produce lime mortar at that time. Seven other similar pits of a similar date to this example are known elsewhere in Colchester (CAR 3, 86-7; CAR 6, 123, 138-9, 220-21).

The two trenches which produced large amounts of kitchen waste, ie the middens, are T9 and T11. These were probably the result of the disposal of food waste from the abbey kitchens. The deposits are dated by a token of AD 1380-1422 and also by peg-tile. As they are so close together, it would be expected that they are contemporary and were from the later period of abbey use.

Finds from the abbey church include thick window glass from T14. This trench was probably within 50 m of the presumed site of the abbey church, and the glass is almost certainly from the abbey church windows. Four pieces have grozed edges, showing that they formed part of panels in a stained-glass window. One piece has surface painting, possibly tendrils. This glass is probably of 14th- or 15th-century date, and so would be contemporary with a repair of or an addition to the original
abbey structure. Various fragments of glazed medieval floor tiles are probably derived from abbey complex buildings.

Another possible association with the abbey is indicated by the distribution of fragments of lava quern. These were traded into Britain in both the Roman and the medieval period, so fragments can date to either period. It is quite possible that all these fragments are Roman (and residual), but all the findspots (T11, T12, T22 and T25) are inside the abbey precinct wall, and an association with ancillary abbey structures, probably kitchens, can be suggested.

There are other foundations which may or may not have been part of the abbey complex. These contained peg-tile, ie in T25 and T9. Unfortunately, peg-tile can occur in both medieval and post-medieval contexts and therefore it is not clear whether these wall foundations are late medieval (and so associated with the abbey), or post-medieval (and associated with post-Dissolution use of the site).

A worn and almost certainly redeposited human bone from T15 may be derived from the medieval abbey cemetery.

## Post-Dissolution

The wall foundations in T25 and T9 which contained peg-tile may be part of the post-Dissolution buildings on this site. The major known structure of this period was the Lucas mansion, and these might represent walls which were associated with it. Another wall foundation of certain post-medieval date was found in T22. Again, this may have been part of the Lucas mansion or its ancillary buildings. Two pieces of window glass from T23 may also be fragments from the windows of the Lucas mansion.
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## 10 Abbreviations and glossary

| Anglo-Saxon | post-Roman, AD 410 to c AD 1066 |
| :---: | :---: |
| AOD | Above Ordnance Datum |
| Bronze Age | 2,500 BC to c 700 BC |
| CAT | Colchester Archaeological Trust |
| CBC | Colchester Borough Council |
| CM context | Colchester and Ipswich Museums specific location on an archaeological site, especially one where finds are made |
| EHER feature | Essex Historic Environment Record an identifiable thing like a pit, a wall, a drain, a floor; can contain 'contexts' |
| HSR | human skeletal remains |
| IFA | Institute of Field Archaeologists |
| jeton | medieval or later trade token |
| medieval | c AD 1066 to c AD 1500 |
| modern | c 1800 to the present day |
| natural | geological deposit undisturbed by human activity |


| Neolithic | the New Stone Age, ie the period of the first farmers $c 4,000 \mathrm{BC}$ to |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $c 2,500 \mathrm{BC}$ |
| NGR | National Grid Reference |
| post-medieval | c AD 1500 to AD 1800 |
| prehistoric belonging to the Stone, Bronze or Iron Ages (before the Romans) |  |
| RRCSAL | Report of the Research Committee of the Society of Antiquaries of |
|  | London |
| residual | an early find in a late context (eg a Roman coin in a Victorian pit) |
| Roman | the period from AD 43 to around AD 430 |
| RPS | RPS Planning (project consultants) |
| SAM | Scheduled Ancient Monument |

## 11 Archive deposition

The paper and digital archive is currently held by the Colchester Archaeological Trust at 12 Lexden Road, Colchester, Essex CO3 3NF, but it will be permanently deposited with Colchester and lpswich Museums, under accession code 2007.127.

## 12 Context and trench reconciliation list

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Context } \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Trench | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | service run | T17 | modern |
| F2 | service run | T17 | modern |
| F3 | foundation | T17 | modern |
| F4 | compacted gravel surface | T17 | post-medieval/modern |
| F5 | pit | T17 | post-medieval/modern |
| F6 | pit | T17 | post-medieval/modern |
| F7 | service cut | T21 | modern |
| F8 | stanchion | T32 | modern |
| F9 | stanchion | T32 | modern |
| F10 | stanchion | T32 | modern |
| F11 | stanchion | T32 | modern |
| F12 | stanchion | T32 | modern |
| F13 | land drain | T32 | post-medieval/modern |
| F14 | road | T32 | modern |
| F15 | service run | T33 | modern |
| F16 | ? grave cut | T33 | Roman |
| F17 | ? grave cut | T33 | Roman |
| F18 | gravel ?post-pad | T33 | Roman |
| F19 | ? grave cut | T33 | Roman |
| F20 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F21 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F22 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F23 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F24 | quarry-pit | T33 | post-medieval |
| F25 | quarry-pit | T29 | modern |
| F26 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F27 | stanchion | T33 | modern |
| F28 | quarry-pit | T31 | Roman |
| F29 | service run | T31 | modern |
| F30 | service run | T31 | modern |
| F31 | natural feature | T24 | - |
| F32 | cremation burial | T31 | Roman |
| F33 | wall foundation | T18 | modern |
| F34 | service cut | T18 | modern |
| F35 | robber trench | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| F36 | ditch | T14 | post-medieval |
| F37 | pit | T14 | post-medieval |
| F38 | pit | T14 | modern |
| F39 | pit | T14 | post-medieval |
| F40 | pit | T14 | modern |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Context } \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | Description | Trench | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F41 | ditch | T18 | medieval |
| F42 | compacted surface | T18 | no finds later than Roman. |
| F43 | pit | T18 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F44 | ditch | T22 | post-medieval |
| F45 | pit | T22 | post-medieval |
| F46 | wall foundation | T22 | post-medieval |
| F47 | wall foundation | T22 | post-medieval |
| F48 | pit | T22 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F49 | ditch | T18 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F50 | pit | T18 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F51 | natural feature | T18 | - |
| F52 | ditch | T18 | post-medieval |
| F53 | clay floor? | T18 | ?medieval |
| F54 | pit | T14 | post-medieval |
| F55 | pit | T14 | medieval |
| F56 | pit | T14 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F57 | compacted gravel surface | T28 | Roman |
| F58 | pit | T28 | post-Roman |
| F59 | pit | T15 | (modern) - no finds later than medieval |
| F60 | pit | T15 | post-medieval |
| F61 | pit | T15 | modern |
| F62 | robber trench | T28 | medieval/post-medieval |
| F63 | quarry-pit | T29 | post-medieval |
| F64 | ?foundation | T29 | modern |
| F65 | quarry-pit | T27 | post-Roman |
| F66 | quarry-pit | T27 | Roman, medieval, or later |
| F67 | pit | T23 | post-medieval |
| F68 | pit | T23 | post-medieval |
| F69 | robber trench | T23 | post-medieval |
| F70 | ditch | T17 | Roman? |
| F71 | post-hole | T17 | ? |
| F72 | foundation | T17 | modern |
| F73 | compacted gravel surface | T17 | ?medieval |
| F74 | pit or ditch | T17 | medieval/post-medieval |
| F75 | ditch | T14 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F76 | lime-kiln/pit | T17 | medieval |
| F77 | wall | T26 | medieval |
| F78 | robber trench of circus ?spina | T26 | post-Roman |
| F79 | ditch | T20 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F80 | pit | T20 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F81 | ditch | T13 | post-medieval |
| F82 | pit | T13 | (post-medieval) - no finds later than Roman |
| F83 | pit | T13 | medieval |
| F84 | pit | T13 | medieval |
| F85 | service run | T13 | modern |
| F86 | pit | T13 | Roman-medieval |
| F87 | pit | T13 | modern |
| F88 | pit or quarry-pit | T13 | medieval |
| F89 | service run | T13 | modern |
| F90 | pit | T14 | modern |
| F91 | service run | T10 | modern |
| F92 | pit | T12 | medieval |
| F93 | pit | T12 | ?Roman |
| F94 | pit | T12 | medieval |
| F95 | service run | T12 | modern |
| F96 | pit | T13 | post-medieval |
| F97 | pit | T13 | post-medieval |
| F98 | pit | T13 | post-medieval |
| F99 | pit | T13 | post-medieval |
| F100 | pit | T13 | post-medieval |
| F101 | small pit or post-hole | T10 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F102 | post-hole | T10 | medieval to post-medieval |


| Context no | Description | Trench | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F103 | post-hole | T10 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F104 | pit | T10 | modern |
| F105 | pit | T10 | Roman or medieval |
| F106 | pit | T10 | modern |
| F107 | metalled surface | T13 | medieval |
| F108 | pit | T10 | medieval |
| F109 | midden | T9 | post-medieval |
| F110 | metalled surface | T9 | medieval |
| F111 | wall foundation | T9 | medieval |
| F112 | midden pit | T9 | medieval |
| F113 | plinth | T25 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F114 | ?robbing pit | T25 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F115 | robbing | T25 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F116 | pit | T25 | medieval to post-medieval |
| F117 | drain | T25 | medieval - post-medieval |
| F118 | pit | T9 | post-medieval |
| F119 | wall foundation | T9 | medieval/post-medieval |
| F120 | brick gutter | T9 | modern |
| F121 | brick gutter | T9 | modern |
| F122 | foundation | T16 | modern |
| F123 | abbey wall | T16 | medieval |
| F124 | pit | T25 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| F125 | metalled surface | T25 | medieval |
| F126 | midden | T11 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| L1 | tarmac hard top | T17 | modern |
| L2 | hogging base for L1 | T17 |  |
| L3 | soil accumulation | T17, T21 | post-medieval |
| L4 | natural | T21 |  |
| L5 | concrete slab | various trenches |  |
| L6 | clay floor | T33 | ?Roman |
| L7 | demolition/robbing | T18 | post-medieval |
| L8 | topsoil | T14, T15 | modern |
| L9 | lower topsoil | T14 | post-Roman |
| L10 | demolition | T22 | post-medieval to modern |
| L11 | silty accumulation | T14 | medieval |
| L12 | crush | T17 | modern |
| L13 | topsoil | T17 | post-medieval |
| L14 | accumulation | T23 | late medieval/post-medieval |
| L15 | concrete | T26 | modern |
| L16 | topsoil | T13 | modern |
| L17 | natural | T13 |  |
| L18 | accumulation | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L19 | dump | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L20 | dump | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L21 | dump | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L22 | dump | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L23 | dump | T14 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L24 | lower topsoil | T10 |  |
| L25 | construction waste | T10 | modern |
| L26 | dump/accumulation | T13 | medieval |
| L27 | building debris | T9 | post-medieval |
| L28 | accumulation | T9 | medieval |
| L29 | accumulation | T25 | modern |
| L30 | redeposited natural | T9 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L31 | accumulation | T19 | medieval/post-medieval |
| L32 | robbing of wall F33 | T18 |  |
| L33 | layer sealing wall F77 | T26 | modern |
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Fig 2 Area B1b: location of 2002 trenches BT1-BT8, and 2007 trenches T9-T33.
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Fig 3 T9-T15: plans.
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Fig 4 T16-T20: plans.
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Fig 5 T21-T27: plans
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Fig 6 T28-T33: plans.

Fig 8 T10-T11: sections.



Fig 11 T14: section.


Fig 12 T15-T16: sections.
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Fig 15 T23-T26: sections.


Fig 16 T27a and T27b: section.
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Fig 17 T28-T29: sections.
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Fig 20 Small finds.
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## Summary sheet

| Site address: Alienated Land Area B1b, Colchester Garrison, Colchester, Essex |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Parish: Colcheste | District: Colchest |
| NGR: TL 99742463 (c) | Site code: COLEM 2006. |
| Type of work: | Site director/g |
| Date of work: July-Septem | Size of area investigated: |
| Colkestar |  |
| Furth | Related EHER UAD nos: 10, 1122, 1157, 1181, 1249 |
| Final report: $\quad$ CAT Report 438 and summary in EAH |  |
| Periods represented: $\quad$ Roman, medieval, post-medieval, modern |  |
| Summary of fieldwork results: <br> This 5.2ha parcel of land coincides with the southern and western part of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, and with the eastern end of the Roman circus. An archaeological evaluation by 25 trenches uncovered evidence for prehistoric, Roman, medieval and post-medieval activity. Area Blb represents the meeting-point of three zones of Roman extra-mural activity comprising a settlement zone, a public entertainment building in the form of the Roman circus, and a cemetery. <br> A small number of struck flints indicates a low level of activity here in the prehistoric period. <br> A large quantity of residual Roman pottery and tile (including tesserae, pila tiles and roofing tiles) is presumably derived from domestic activity and unidentified Roman buildings in this area. Roman pitting (T10, T12, T13) and a ditch (T17) are similarly representative of a settlement zone and add to the 2nd-century domestic pit found during the 2002 evaluation of the site. Pottery and coin finds suggest a later 1st- to 4th-century date for this extra-mural occupation. The information can be added to the results of the Area Bla evaluations undertaken in 2002 and 2007 which identified further Roman pitting, ditches and post-holes and residual domestic finds including a quantity of painted wall-plaster from a nearby building. Two Roman inhumation burials and one cremation burial, the latter within a large quarry-pit (T31) were excavated at the south end of the site. These were probably part of the same general Roman cemetery previously excavated in GAL Area C2, 150m to the WSW, and at Napier Road, immediately to the south-west. Loose finds indicate at least three more burials. Roman quarrying was also evident in the south-east corner of the site. <br> The predicted position of the east end of the Roman circus coincided with the southern edge of the site, and five trenches were specifically targeted on the circus structure. These confirmed the expected position of the cavea walls, and also exposed the south face of the partially-robbed St John's Abbey precinct wall. One robber trench contained peg-tile, indicating a potentially late date for the end of robbing activity here. A gravel surface was located on the outer side of the circus, matching that previously found on GAL Areas C1 and C2. <br> Although Anglo-Saxon burials are recorded on the east side of Mersea Road, no Anglo-Saxon material was recovered during this evaluation. <br> Despite the location of this site in the southern and western part of the walled precinct of St John's Abbey, only one building could definitely be associated with the medieval abbey. This was a right-angled wall foundation with a rough cobble surface on its west side and a clay floor on its east side, possibly the south-west corner of a building with an internal clay floor (T18). Given the position of this structure, it must have been part of the monastic buildings. Other possibly medieval structural remains were located to the south in $T 9$, on the western edge of the site, where buildings appear to have linked up with the western precinct wall of the abbey. Another abbey-period structure was a lime-kiln/pit probably contemporary with the rebuilding of the abbey after the major fire of AD 1133. Finds probably from the abbey church include thick glass from a stained-glass window. Other wall foundations containing peg-tile (T22, T25) may be late medieval (and so associated with the abbey), or post-medieval (and associated with post-Dissolution use of the site and particularly the Lucas mansion). |  |
| Previous summaries/reports: $\quad$ CAT Report 206 |  |
|  | Date of summary: January 2008 |

